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PREFACE

Twentieth century has made changes in the very structure of the inhabitants of this earth that were unthinkable only half a century earlier. The physical has not been balanced even by the sociological and the psychological in magnitude, much less by the religious and the spiritual. This failure is the real crisis of humanity. The golden age is one that strikes a harmonious chord in all its aspects. Thus the present century has failed to keep up the promise.

The hope, says a well-known Greek proverb, is the sustainance of the struggling mortals. We hope that the twenty-first century will bring about this harmony. The last part of the twentieth century has been struggling with the problem and we feel the consciousness to face and solve has been the most important guiding line of our thinkers, however great may have been the failure. But once one realises the immense magnitude of the problem one cannot feel disheartened by either the failure, or the amount of work done to bridge the gap. Continents have become villages, and nations mere wards in a municipal town. The computers of the seventh generation have become better equipped to deal with everyday problems than mere human beings belonging to the race of white collars. The moon is not merely within the grasp, but is
habitable. The solar system has been practically toured like a Columbus. It is no joke to consolidate the gains. And still the technology and the physical sciences are not exhausted, nor sleeping on their laurels but leaping everyday in the new horizons with a thud that trembles the human race as once the Volcano did. But humanity is also rising up like a colossus lifting its head like a seal from the surface of the sea. And the next century may see once again the rise of the humanities which may dwarf the gains of the sciences in the twentieth century. This work may help to bring the consciousness to the forefront of this march.

The struggle for a goal is the definition of life. Mere flow is inanimate action. A goal is not and can never be fixed by an external agency. Exteriorly determined goal is no goal. Hence an action done under duress of fear may be good but certainly not moral, much less religious, and is definitely unspiritual. The sciences continue to strive after the extraneous determination or verification. Following in its trail and establishing itself as a branch of science, psychology and ethics, and even religions are trying to establish their veracity on the basis of an objective external coordinate, failing which they fight shy of asserting their real intentions. The objective verification of subjective coordinate is the new line opening up, and perhaps in the next century we may see the broadening of science on this new foundation. Just as too much emphasis on the subjective and neglect of the objective was the bane of medieval philosophers, near total obliteration of the subject and the subjective verification has been the bane of the modern scientists. Vedantic view of life is a balanced one emphasising the subjective and objective equally. Since the goal and its coordinates is the subjective side, science as it is understood today is incapable of guiding the human spirit in this region. Vedanta postulates that subject and object come into being simultaneously and are equally authoritative in guiding the human spirit in its journey. One gives the momentum and the other provides the wheel. Subject without the object is lame, and the object without the subject is blind. Thus, the twenty-first century is bound to be Vedantic if it has to survive

Hinduism in general and Vedanta in particular is basically universal in its approach. It has not kept the blinders of race, religion, culture, nation etc. off while traversing the path of the spirit. All the varieties of human capacities are taken into consideration, thus it has something for each aspirant. It is all inclusive. It excludes none as a misfit in its catholicism. From the barbarian Huns to the paramahamsa like Suka, it has a place in its wings. This naturally has made Hinduism full of apparent contradiction. There are values which become disvalues at a certain stage of development. Hinduism has been called a federation of religions, rather than a religion. This is really a tribute rather than disparaging. But Hinduism has a unity, just as a federation also has a unity. It is the unity of the fundamental rights and duties, and a sense of dedication of each federating unit to the development of the federation. Similarly Hinduism gives each federal unit absolute independence in its own affairs,
yet each unit has to give the same right to the other units and has a duty to agree to communicate with each federal unit its fundamental principles and be ready to discuss its ethical, scientific and spiritual aspect on a rational basis with a free attitude of give and take. Criticism to a Hindu is not necessarily destructive for he holds that the greater truths can be attained through harmonious disputations. Thus Buddhism, Jainism may be heretical sects, but sects of Hinduism all the same. Jainism could never have made so many vegetarians with all its conversions without becoming a part of Hinduism. Buddha is worshipped to this day by every Hindu not only as an incarnation, but as a part of every day samkalpa. Thus contributions from each branch have influenced every other one. Mohammedans and Christians never could become a part for they had from the beginning imbibed the culture of intolerance, non-communication and exclusive rights with no duties. Some of the Hindu giants tried to bring a harmony but the Muslim masses never cooperated. The fault lies not with the followers, but with the founders of these sectarian churches. If in future humanity has to exist, it must out of necessity give up these sectarian and autocratic views and build themselves into a universal church which in effect will only be an enlarged Hinduism based on the precepts of Vedanta according to the genius of Sri Sankara.

Hinduism brings religions from a social phenomenon to an individual noumena. Each individual is a complete unit, and religion needs no other apparatus than the body-mind complex endowed by God. Reform thus is not a movement, but a ripple, for ripples make up the wave.

This ripple making is called yajna ( यज्ञ) in the terse Vedic language. Hinduism is a picturesque religion, full of symbolical representation. Each human action is a symbol. Ideal life is the symbolised ideal action. Yajna or sacrifice is the ideal symbol. Whatever is done according to the dictates of the scriptures is a yajna. A well ordered moral living aimed at realising Siva as one’s innermost reality is yajna. Veda has often prescribed this symbol and given its details. Chhandogyopanishad, Taittiriyaaranyakopanishad etc. are the foundations on which later the Bhagavad Gita has built the monumental structure of Jivan Yajna. Most of the Puranas alongwith the Mahabharata gave the flesh and blood to this structure and through stories presented as an animated sacrifice. Shibi sacrifices his own body to a vulture, Harishchandra sacrifices his son, Rohit, to an angry rishi, Rantideva sacrifices his whole family to a chandala and so on and so forth.

The present book deals mainly with the mores or norms that must guide the one who wants to become a yejamana in the sacrifice. Truth, non-violence etc. are concepts on which the future religion of the twenty-first century must put up its foundations. Unfortunately these are the very things that are often neglected. The present generation has reservations about them because in their study of human nature, whether in psychology, sociology, or anthropology, they do not find any evidence of their being in the normal make-up of humanity. The man of action often finds them obstructing his personal progress as well as the progress of the institutions. But the reason is that truth or non-violence has been worked out by the
great thinkers into the fully developed stage to their farthest limits and deepest significance. In these rarefied stratospheres they become really impractical, unapproachable and unattainable. But this is true of any value whatsoever. But in the beginning stages they are not so. The fact is that individual virtue is really impossible to perfect in one’s life without catering to others equally well. Though in the highest stage each is derivable from the other, but in practice each is to be practiced imperfectly in collaboration with the other and thus each will help to attain a harmony when all of them will be perfected simultaneously. Of course one or the other virtue due to one’s aptitude attracts one more than the others. And in the final leap that particular virtue will be sucking the aspirant into the highest stage, and this will become the reason for that wise person to recommend that particular virtue more than the others. Thus Sankara will emphasise loving wisdom, Buddha compassionate middlepath, Mahavira austerity, Krishna passionless action, Rama dedicated duty, Mohammed God-dedicated brotherhood, Christ loving charity and so on and so forth. But once a detailed analysis is done the messages converge rather than diverge. Religion or ethics can never be studied on the normal human beings, but on its best samples. Just as the characteristics of gold or silver are studied on the most refined molecule of gold, rather than the mineral gold or silver, similarly the human quality has to be studied by studying the persons who have become pure human, losing their passionate animal life far behind. It is then that we will realise the norms of the human society. The present book is a projection on these values. In the appendix certain meditations are given for the aspirant to dive into the sacrifice. One must understand the symbol of life to comprehend the other symbols of the sacrifice.

Bharatiya Sanskriti Samaj has taken upon itself the burden of publishing this work, for it feels that to the task that it has taken up, it is the best introduction.
SONG OF THE SEA

What is the course of the life
Of mortal men on the earth?
Most men eddy about
Here and there—eat and drink,
Chatter and love and hate,
Gather and squander, are raised
Aloft, are hurl'd in the dust,
Striving blindly, achieving
Nothing; and, then they die—
Perish; and no one asks
Who or what they have been,
More than he asks what waves
In the moonlit solitudes mild
Of the midmost ocean have swelled,
Foamed for a moment, and gone.

Life is an ocean. Like the fish, the countless souls
in it do not care to measure its depths. To them it
is limitlessly vast and deep and contains infinite number
of things. So long as they get their food they do not
care to explore. But even in such a day-to-day mun-
dane existence of an ordinary man the question does
rise, as the poet has raised above, at some rare moment
of life, in the wake of a disillusionment that comes
'...bursting all these bubbles, fashions, wealths, these
eager business aims—books, politics, art, amours
—to utter nothingness'. Only instead of allowing time
to force this question with a dose of bitterness in it,
the intelligent man ponders over the problem even
as he begins to bear the burdens of life on his
mature shoulders, even as he begins to face the buffets of coast-rollers. He pauses to hear the uproar of the waves and observes with care their wild tossing. He would gladly discover in the wild uproar a music that resonates with his heart-beats, and in the wild tossing a rhythmic grace that keeps time with his footsteps. In this discovery lies the success of living.

On deep analysis we find that man has in him three fundamental desires—to be unrestricted, to enjoy and to know. Almost all his activities can be traced to either of these motivating desires. But man finds himself in a world where the fulfillment of these desires is not easy, there are obstacles at every step restricting his freedom, there are pleasures he can not get, things he can not know. So man must go on striving to remove these obstacles and get what he wants for himself. This constant striving to get at his desired goal is what is generally called life.

Philosophy which literally means ‘love of knowledge’ thus touches one of the three main concerns of life viz., freedom, happiness and knowledge. It also indirectly covers the field of the other two factors and tries to offer solutions to problems concerning their attainment. But a philosophy to be of living and universal interest must make life itself its object, life in its universal aspect, probe into its secrets and situations and suggest how best life can be lived, that is to say how best can one overcome the obstacles to the attainment of absolute freedom and happiness.

Out of such an attempt emerges the form of practical religion with its essentially individual character and group of internal values. This is inevitable. For, an external search into things resulting in a thorough knowledge of them is not going to change the creation, remove the obstacles to man’s achievement. We can only come to know of the fundamental laws that govern the physical world and put them to material use. But we can not reverse them as we wish. Whereas the internal nature can be controlled and transformed so that the external factors which were impassable obstacles are no more obstacles. This is the significance and speciality of religious living.

That it is rooted in the individual’s moral values does not mean that this sort of life would be confined to the monk’s cell or limited to few hours of a man’s private life apart from his activities in society. This would not at all be a wholesome prescription, it would be tearing life into shreds and creating conflicts and tensions. Hence the wisdom of the most ancient of philosophies, Hinduism, has not divided religion and normal social life into water-tight compartments. Here religion penetrates every pore of life. It demands of you to sit at the shop-counter and transact business as ‘religiously’ as you would sit in the shrine-room and worship God.

This sounds incredible at the outset—almost blasphemous. That is because we are not ready to sacrifice certain pet ideas and fanciful items of life we are accustomed to, we are not able to reconcile ourselves to the seeming contradiction of seeking freedom and happiness through accepting a restriction for life-time, a strict self-imposed discipline. We need to be initiated into the doctrine of Karma-Yoga.

An illiterate farmer went to a doctor for treating his foot. A thorn had gone deep into the sole and formed a festering sore. When the doctor approached the wound, knife in hand, the farmer shrieked, “What are
you doing! A small thorn one-tenth of an inch has entered my foot and is creating so much trouble. Instead of doing something to relieve me you are going to thrust this six-inch long knife. Are you mad?" The doctor replied. "I am not mad. That thorn got into you through your own foolishness and carelessness; hence it gives you trouble. I am now going to insert this knife with full knowledge and precaution so that it will not get stuck there but extract your thorn and also be free to come out the moment I pull it. And then your wound will heal in no time. You will be up and doing as before". That quietened the farmer.

The principle of Karma-yoga is somewhat similar. Action or, we may say, life itself, because as we have seen it is but a name for a series of actions—when based on ignorance is an eternal source of pain. The same when enlightened with knowledge becomes a heal, a doorway to perfection. By knowledge here we mean a close understanding of the principle of action, its fruits and the Giver of the fruits. It is this knowledge which the Vedas call variously as विद्या, उपासना, शास्त्र, भावना, etc., and prescribe its synchronisation with external action. For instance the Ishavasyopanishad declares:

Basya naksatram param sambhava ma gatantah

taho puruḥ eke tamo ya ३ विद्याय रत्न = १९१२

विद्या चारिवाहा च यशदेवमय सि

विध्याय वृत्तिसहीतं विषयासुतविल 

"Those who practise mere avidya (action) enter into blinding darkness. Into greater darkness do they fall who practise mere vidya (knowledge)...He who practises both vidya and avidya together overcomes death through avidya and attains to eternity by the help of vidya". For a clear understanding of the Vedic theory of Life, this mantra with its typically Upanishadic language must be studied a little more deeply.

All actions give some fruit or other either immediately or at some distant time, either perceived directly or otherwise inferred. The effects of some actions linger for a while only whereas that of others last for a long time. All the same every effect must end at some point of time. Life cannot yield an everlasting result since life itself is a thing endowed with beginning and end. But there is a particular method through which action achieves the potency to give man an infinite result, a deathless perfection which we call moksha. This is the method of Karma-yoga with its twin functions; it inspires every action with desirelessness (niskama) and turns it into an offering to the Creator. In this way Karma-yoga works like a double-edged sword.

Each action creates two kinds of results. One is the evident result that accrues to the doer in the form of pain or pleasure. The other is a subtle impression, vaasana left in the doer's mind. This latter is the real bondage of action. Because it deepens the lack or instinct which initiated the act and creates a propensity to repeat the action again and in order to fulfil it. More and more activity leads to more and more vaasanas which in turn accelerate the activity and thus the blind struggle goes on endlessly in a vicious circle. This is what the Isavaasya says as 'entering the blinding darkness'. Such useless activity is termed avidya because here the actor is completely ignorant of the principle of action, its fruits and the giver of it, the Creator, the God of perfection.
The Atharva Veda says, "The aspirant after perfection (Brahman) must investigate, analyse and test this world of material achievement and through the observation that the uncreate, infinite (perfection) is not got through the created finite (action), he must attain to dispassion". That is to say, he then ceases to be motivated by lust and greed for the evanescent fruits of action, nor is he carried away by the act itself. He just floats on the surface of life's flood of activity. Hence vaisanas fail to anchor him or draw him deeper into the vortex. This is the first function of Karma-yoga.

At this stage the aspirant instead of being subject to haphazard and capricious acting, is led by the commands of the scriptures. For knowing that by this the Creator will be pleased and that is what is important, he desires nothing else, nothing for himself. He is filled with the realisation that this world, this entire creation, belongs to the Creator and whatever personal gain a man has, is all a gift from Him. Man in his short-sightedness sees only his own actions and mistakes it to be the cause of his gains, just as a brilliant reflecting surface held against the sun should be mistaken as self-radiant. The creator is the centre of Life, the only source of its light. He is the boundless ocean of all its wealth. Everything arises from Him and flows back to Him. This knowledge is called vidiya.

But a mere theoretical conception of this without the aforementioned intense analysis and dispassion will only result in licentiousness and indulgences even in prohibited practices. Hence mere vidiya is said to lead to greater blinding darkness where the possibility of realising the error and striving for purification and progress is completely lost. It is not enough to know that God pervades all and possesses all, one must enter into a definite relation with Him and be established in His oneness and universality. With all the limitations of life, jiva the aspiring being can achieve this only by adopting a particular set of actions, a prescribed code of life which he can offer to God every moment of his life. By restricting himself to the acts of dharma, the dictates of the scriptures, he escapes the errors of the evil path, adharma. And by offering the acts along with their fruits to God he avoids the transitory results of even the good acts. Because all his acts then are transmuted into the highest worship and take him to the very altar of the Creator, ushers him into the King's orchards and affords him intimations of that Infinity which is his fulfilment. Hence this combination of action and worship of vidiya and avidya is said to save man from the death of mortal existence (मृत्युलोकम्) and enable him to partake of Eternity (अत्युत्तमकाले).

Without this balancing of forces of activity and knowledge, life will fly at a tangent, as is the case with our present day civilisation. Man develops a lopsided growth. "As the fowls of the sky can fly only on both the wings, even so, the wise hold, jnana and karma (knowledge and action) are for the progress of man" (Yogaashishtha). Lacking either one of them man is bound to falter and fall. The Bhagavad Gita warns that all actions are meant for worship; otherwise the world will... fettered by activity—

यज्ञायं कर्मोपयत्ति लौकिकों कर्मवर्धनं।

Here the use of the word 'yajna' (पत) is very significant. It points out to the very core of Vedic conception of life. The Yajna, the Hindu ritual of
making offerings to God through fire, is an outstanding feature enshrined in the Vedas. It is a perfect example of karma-yoga's synchronisation of external act and worship of the Divine. Hence accepting this as a model for all actions the Gita gives the message that the entire life should become a yajna, a sacrifice.

Thus out of our brief study emerges this lofty conception of life. The Vedic rishi's chose to represent it by the magnificent symbol of yajna and to grasp this conception and make it our own it becomes necessary first to get acquainted with the symbol and know its full significance.

YAJNA AND ITS FORM

Panini's Dhatu-patha gives out the root-meaning of the word yajna thus: यज्ञा वै देवताहि संसूक्तिकरणावलेषु. Here we get three distinct meanings: worship of God, collection of ingredients and daana or charity. The first is called puja, ritualistic worship and the second meaning is what is commonly understood by yajna. With respect to it the Matsya Purana says,

'Gods, material for oblation (like rice, barley, ghee as prescribed for each yajna), the vedic mantras, officiating priests and their fees (dakshina)—the coming together of all these is what is called yajna.'

In this way yajna takes the form of engaging well-versed priests for invoking certain aspect of God with suitable Vedic mantras and offering Him oblations which are poured into a specially kindled fire. Pleased with this worship God grants the fulfilment of whatever desire that prompted the offering. Since the fruits of an act must go to the actual doer, the merits of the sacrificial offering rightly goes to the priests. The yajaman or institutor of the yajna who has engaged the priests pays them dakshina and buys from them the merit so gained and thus has his own desire fulfilled.

The karma kaanda section of the Vedas prescribe numerous yajnas for different fruits like life, progeny, cattle, rains, kingdom, heaven, health, wealth, over-
coming an enemy and so forth. From this it should not be concluded that *vajña* is done only for personal gain. The object of *yajña* covers all possible aims of social life extending up to *vihara-shanti*, the peace and well-being of the whole world. Actually *yajnas* which give universal good are placed higher than those with personal gain as their end. We have it in the *Angira Sutra*, *वज्ञसयोक्ति व्रचसंरक्षति संध्यायः* and Bharadwaja too says, *समस्तं संकल्पम् महायज्ञः* : *i.e., yajña* with universal connections is called *mahayajña*. Manu the great law-maker asserts, *परायणां वर्तंबरवातोऽक्षरते तनुः*—one’s physical and mental structure becomes spiritualised through the *yajña* and *mahayajña*.

In the famous *Brihadaranyaka Upanishad* passage, *सोवेतं वेदांश्वपि व्रचसंरक्षति* the *yajña* is prescribed as a means leading to the inquiry of the Eternal, the truth, the Brahman. In fact it is a rule that even the Vedic rites meant for the worldly purposes will, when performed without any desire except that of worshipping the *Veda Purusha*, constitute a divine purification of the being instead of yielding worldly fruit. So one is free to wield the weapon of *yajña* as potentially as one is capable of.

And then again there are *yajnas* which are not the choice of the doer but must necessarily be accomplished according to one’s order of life. Such are the *niyā yajnas* like *agniḥotra*, the daily fire-worship prescribed for the *brahmaṇa*, *kṣatriya* and *vaśishṭa*. Apart from these daily rites there are *yajnas* whose duration is longer than a year. They are called *sutra* (सूत्र). Some *yajnas* take as long as twelve years for completion. Bodhayana in his *Orishaparipṛcchha sūtra* (1.1.20) makes a fourfold classification of *yajnas* : "That (*yajña*) is known to be of four kinds and practised as such *viz., yajña of Vedic study, *yajña* of repeating sacred formulæ, ritualistic *yajña* and mental *yajña*. Each is ten times more potent than the preceding one and all the four apply with special reference respectively to the four stations of life, studentship, householdership, hermit-hood and monkhood."

This implies that some sort of *yajña* is to be maintained throughout life, even in the stage of *sannyasa* where all duties are given up for the sake of complete absorption in God. *Yajña* can not be given up. Because it is the sustaining force behind life. ‘यज्ञः ययो भार्याय—*Yajnas* uphold the earth’ ‘It is *yajña* alone which is the axis of the universe.’ This world is run by it. All this shows that the concept of *yajña* is not merely a particular physical act but a cosmic force, a conscious entity. The *Chandogya Upanishad* declares, ‘Verily man is *yajña*’. Because his whole life, as we shall presently see in detail, is a perpetual *yajña*. Through this man succeeds in lifting himself from the human to the divine plane.

Thus *yajña* is a powerful instrument for achieving human and divine goods and, as is revealed from the following robust statement, even immortality is possible through *yajña*:

> यदेतेहमस्मप्तः पार्था यूथो महाय जगतः।
> ततुत्वं वनस्ति मायां विखन्विताभाइः॥

‘O Death, those thousand and ten thousand snares which thou hast laid for slaying man, all of them we remove by the power of *yajña*.’

Life or creation along with death or dissolution forms a pair that has been one of the most intriguing subjects of philosophy. There are many creation theories and various analogies are freely drawn upon to explain
the process of creation. But it is very significant that
the Vedas chose the *yajna*. In the Rig Veda there is
a rich poetic description of how *purusha*, the primeval
being sacrificed himself and brought forth the whole
universe. Here we find the concept of *yajna* reaching
stupendous heights. As the manifestation so is the mer-
ging back into original form. If man came into being,
into the world of limitations, through *yajna* then it is
through *yajna* that he can reverse the order, break
through the limitations and reach his home, the perfec-
tion and bliss he aspires after.

With all these details, scopes and intrinsic values
under our consideration we can proceed to find out how
life can be lived on the model of *yajna*.

**SYMBOL AND SYMBOLISED**

"Man hunger, thirsts, is afflicted with joyless-
ness—this is his *diksha*, his initiation (into the sacrifice
of life). Man eats, drinks, feels pleased—this is his
*upasada*, sacrificial repast. He makes merry, feeds on
delicacies, mates with his life-partner—these are the
psalms and praises, *sukhahastra*. Whatever austerity,
charity, uprightness, non-violence, truthfulness etc.
his observance is seen, he is *dakshina*. And when he brings forth an off-spring they say 'It has been
accomplished, extracted' as they would at the extract-
ing of the *soma* juice. Verily death is his last bath,
*avahbara*. Thus did Ghora Angiras instruct Krishna,
the son of Devaki who then became satiated of the
thirst of knowledge."

The above passage from the seventeenth section of
the third chapter of *Chandogya Upanishad* contains
the major feature of *jivana-yajna*. In working out the
details of each item and observing the idea behind each
comparison we get a complete and comprehensive
picture of it.

In any serious enterprise the foremost require-
ment is to resolve firmly that one will persist in the fruitful
completion of the work to be undertaken. This is done
ceremoniously in the *yajna* through the rite of initia-
tion, *diksha* (दीक्षा). All through the period of the
*yajna*, the *yajaman* is bound by many strict disciplines
and he has to promise, "पुत्रसूत्र सत्यमुखिं मानुषाईवरुणिं"
resolve are very essential if life is to be made a holy worship and not a nervous wreck.

Once the initiation into yajna is finished then the priests start the regular activities of the yajna. Usually in a full-fledged yajna sixteen persons officiate as priests, each with a distinguishing name and work allotted to him. For example, the chief of the priests is called Brahma and he is in charge of the whole proceedings and instructs the udgata, hota, prastota, upagata, adhvarya and other priests in their respective works of singing hymns, offering oblations, etc. In the jivana-yajna too we find on analysis the full chorus of sixteen priests, sixteen factors that are responsible for all the functions of life.

All the sense-experiences of man can be said to be contained of sight, hearing, smell, touch or taste. These five are experienced respectively through the eyes, ears, nose, skin and tongue. These are the five senses of knowledge. Similarly there are five senses of action which are the means to the five forms of all possible human actions viz., handling, walking, speaking, excreting, and reproducing. These five senses of action are the hands, feet, mouth and the two organs of excretion and generation. Thus altogether we have ten senses which are the basis of our external life. Apart from these there is the internal sense of perception, mind. This rules over the ten external senses goading them on to their respective action or perception. That is why in the state of absent-mindedness one does not see with eyes wide open, nor do the other senses respond in any way even though the objects of their perception are present. Besides this, mind has the distinct function of cognising pain and pleasure.
and other such feelings and emotions and also possesses the capacity of preserving and recalling memories.

Then we come to the next criterion in the human body which is responsible for the life-functions. It is prana. This is a collective name given to the groups of energies that feed the life-fire burning in the body at all times. As Kriya-shakhti the active energy, prana directly impels the five senses of action. And coupled with the mind it indirectly sustains the senses of perception too. That mind and prana are interconnected is evident in the ordinary experiences of life. When mind is agitated with passions the breathing too is affected. When prana grows weak through starvation of the body the mental faculties too begin to diminish. So by proper control of mind prana can be regulated and vice versa. This has given rise in Hinduism to pranayama and other yogic practices that form a regular science of body-mind culture.

Prana functions in five major channels. As individual prana it causes the breathing through the nostrils. As apana it ejects things out of the body. Udana withdraws the senses during sleep and at time of death helps individual self to transmigrate into other bodies according to the law of karma. The prana that keeps the digestive fires alive and consumes the food taken in is called samana. The essence of the food consumed is distributed all over the body by vyana. These five pranas and the ten external senses along with the mind as their leader form the sixteen priests who carry out the functions of jivana-yajna. Mind being the hub of activity, and controller of the rest, naturally occupies the place of Brahma, the chief priest.

Now, for any yajna to be successful throughout, the priests should be well-qualified, highly exercised, purified and pleased. All these requirements apply to the senses, mind and prana. In this intensively active and positive view of life there is no starving of the faculties, instead the greatest pains are taken to make them as strong and subtle as possible. The Vedic prayer goes: “Let us hear with our ears what is good, let us see with our eyes what is good. May we remain possessed of firm limbs and sound parts and all through life seek the divine welfare.”

Priests partake of food only once a day and after the day’s yajna is finished. However when the yajna is going on they are allowed to break the fast with a little quantity of milk. This is technically called upasada. Referring to this item in the jivana-yajna Chandogya Upanishad says, “वदने तिथि तत्वाभिस्ति दशते तदुपसदे—What he eats, what he drinks, what he exults in, that is upasada.” These are the simple pleasures of life that are given to all creatures. Just as in diksha we saw that life abounds with inevitable suffering which therefore must be borne with calmness even so these pleasures that are natural fruits of life must be accepted with dignity and contentment. They should refresh and keep us ready to push on further in our duties, not awoken greed and lust and drag us down. Hence they are the upasada in the jivana-yajna.

Apart from the above mentioned experience of pleasures which are, so to say, satiations common to all living, there are special occasions when man experiences deep joy. A pleasant social gathering, meeting with dear friends, interesting sports and other entertainments, feasting, sight-seeing etc., afford him an exhilaration that makes life worth living. He then bursts into laughter or music. Then again there are moments when the joy is too deep for expression and man is
just thrilled. It may be in the ecstatic embrace of the beloved, or a sudden stumbling upon the profound beauty of a Himalayan scene. All such rare and special occasions of joy are the songs of life that break the monotony of a commonplace daily routine. So they are likened to the _stutahasta_, Vedic hymns in praise of gods sung at certain intervals of the _yajna_. The lilting resonant notes of these Saama Veda hymns shed a peculiar charm and brighten the ritualistic routine of the _yajna_.

Angiras asks Krishna to sing the songs of life as lustily and yet as sacrally as the Vedic songs of praise. There is no place for wild abandon in a purposive life. The greatest pleasures should not come by surprise and sweep us off our feet. Through definite and demarcated channels they should be sought, analysed, known, accepted and exulted in. Then they will form a part of worship. There is no stooping down to crumbles of chance, no stealthy drinking of prohibited pegs. We receive our joys from God as we would the monsoon showers, the glorious sunshine. There is a complete lack of confusion and nervous tension. Instead, a full awareness and acceptance lead to healthy morality and thankful remembrance of God and a persistent effort to attain more and more fullness and subtlety which can be got only by approaching God, the primal cause.

We may consider the conceptions of _jivana-yajna_’s _upasada_ and _stutahasta_ as examples of the brilliant Vedic genius, which couples intense humanness with high spirituality endowing life with robust optimism and fullness instead of escapism and religious melancholy. In short these are keys to that oft-quoted and least-practised principle of simple living and high thinking. They open the doors to varied avenues of noble ideas like _santosha, shama_, etc., which we shall deal later in detail.

The next item of the _yajna_ is the _dakshina_. As mentioned earlier it is through proper _dakshina_ that the whole merit of the _yajna_ accrues to the sacrificer and hence _dakshina_ is very important. The _Bhagavad Gita_ (17.13) includes lack of proper _dakshina_ as one of the five factors that make a _yajna_ tamasic and hence least meritorious. In ordinary _yajnas_ _dakshina_ may be given in the form of gold, silver, corn, cattle, or any such valuable and useful commodity. In the _jivana-yajna_ however we pay the ‘priests’ in a different way: "(Practice of) austerity, giving away in charity, abating from violence, and speaking the truth—these are _his_ _dakshina_.”

The vein of similarity that carries the comparison is the aspect of giving up. By giving up sensual comfort through austerity, wealth through charity, crookedness through uprightness, violence through non-violence and untruth through truthfulness, we buy the real profits of life. The following pages will be devoted to a special study of how this is accomplished.

Presently we come to the _leitmotiv_ of this _yajna_, the offering of oblations. Instead of the offerings of rice, barley and sesame mixed in clarified butter as is usual in a fire-sacrifice, the sacrifice of life requires us to offer six things: lust (काम), anger (गङ्गा), greed (गोर्ग), delusion (गौर्ज), pride (गङ्गा) and jealousy (गङ्गा)—in short, all our evil passions and deluded attachments. These are the ‘gateways to hell’ as Sri Krishna puts it in the _Gita_. They are the most dangerous and formidable foes in the pilgrimage of life and plunder away
our peace and purity, our wisdom and honour and cast us in the most brutal enslavement. Hence these are to be consumed to ashes in the fire of a disciplined life.

But their consummation will be temporary so long as the root of these passions and delusions remains untouched. According to Vedanta philosophy ignorance of the Truth, the true nature of the self and the world of manifestation, is the root. Hence this causal ignorance also is to be made a holocaust. And this is the final-oblation, purahvita (पुरषश्व) with which the sacrificial fire is put off. Jivana-yajna virtually comes to an end here. Only the last ceremonial bath called apahrita suana remains. This corresponds to the state of jivan-mukti, the highest goal of life in which, having cut the 'knots of the heart' asunder, having dispelled the dark hordes of ignorance with spiritual illumination, untouched by sin or sorrow, unrestricted by time or space or any duality whatsoever, the soul exists in the state of pure existence-knowledge-bliss that is eternally its own.

Just as on the completion of the yajna, the consecrated waters of the apahrita suana are sprinkled over all those assembled on the spot, even so a person who has successfully concluded his life-sacrifice and attained the goal of jivan-mukti immerses himself in that supreme bliss and sprinkles the same on whomsoever he contacts. So long as his body exists he is a source of unfailing inspiration and through every one of his actions, even the most insignificant, he helps to relieve the sufferings of mankind. As it is said, he has no other business but to go about doing good. And this he does as naturally and tangibly as the sweet winds that blow or the warm sunlight that shines on all, awakening refreshing and invigorating.

AHIMSA—THE FIRST DHARMA

Having got a complete picture of the jivana-yajna, the practical question arises now as to how to materialise it in one's own life. It is clear that giving up of lust, anger, greed, and other passions along with their ultimate cause, ignorance, is the main feature; all other things being accessories to it. But how is this to be accomplished? Passions are not material substance that can be grasped and cut to pieces or thrown into a fire capable of consuming it. Most of the time we are not aware of the rising of passions, or even of their taking possession of us. Usually it is only a sort of later reflection when the storm has passed away and damage is complete. In case we do detect the passions, still we are unable to put them down. So we need a system of life which will be a constant vigil and exercise at every step, a system in which the sacrifice of these passions will be the natural and inevitable result of each action.

The dictates of dharma have been laid out in the scriptures for this very same purpose of providing such a system. Dharma is a code of life and one who follows this code alone will be fit to attempt at jivana-yajna; just as in an army only those who have accepted and passed through a particular course of military discipline and training can work efficiently. We cannot help introducing such disciplines and modes of training in civil and military life of society. Man has evolved them out of countless ages of experience and knowledge. Simi-
larly the rishis and prophets of ancient times dived deep into the cosmic mind and found revealed there the fundamental threads of life. Out of this knowledge they formulated a set of rules guided by which man will be able to tune himself in harmony with the cosmic purpose and thus reap life’s highest good. This set of rules, which we call dharma, are more important and valuable to us than the man-made laws and regulations of social life. Because unlike the latter, dharma does not change in its fundamental forms which have stood the test of countless aeons of human life—rather, countless cycles of creation and dissolution. The universality of these forms of dharma too is unquestioned, because in widely varying or even opposite situations of time and space, everyone of the great personalities who have come up to brighten the horizons of humanity have shed the same light, spoken the same spirit, prescribed the same form of dharma. The only effect that the individual characteristic of any particular age or person has upon this, lies in the change of stress, the choice of certain forms of dharma to which chief attention is paid. So it is not desirable or possible for us to do away with these fundamental forms of dharma, or try to change them in their essence. Since the choice or change of stress regarding any one of these forms is determined automatically by the need of the age we have only to study these forms in their basic and full contexts in order that we may lay these strands in our personal life-pattern.

Dharma has two broad divisions, general (सामाजिक) and special (विशेष). The first is for all human beings under all states of life whereas the latter applies to special classes of people under certain conditions. Jivanayajna is prescribed for all under all conditions of life. Hence we are here chiefly concerned with the general dharma.

Under general dharma different rishis and smriti-writers have given different lists of disciplines. Taking most of the main items into consideration Yajnavalkya says:

“प्रहिसा सत्यमवतं शोभित्निधिपति:।
शान्ति दीर्घद्विख्याति: सरः यथं दमिन सागमृ:।
ahimsa, satya, asteya, shaucha, indriya-nigraha, daana, dama daya, kshamiti, these are means of dharma for all.”

We find that ahimsa tops the list of these golden laws of humanity. Patanjali too while describing the yama disciplines in his yoga sutras names ahimsa first, and Vyasa commenting on it says that he who takes hold of this gets everything, meaning thereby that it is the root of all virtues. Elsewhere it is told explicitly “प्रहिसा दयो धर्मशः: Ahimsa is the greatest of dharmas”. The Jains and, to some extent, the Buddhists made this the pivot of their religion. And even now there is much preaching all over the globe of this single virtue, so much so, very few men seem to have scarcely ever understood its real worth and extent. The exaltedness of ahimsa will be clear only when we realise the depths of degradation that is kshama (violence), the opposite of ahimsa (non-violence).

It is surprising how varied are the conceptions of violence, some of them too startlingly gross for this so-called age of inquiry and intellectual refinement. Most people believe that killing a living creature is violence. Among these too the majority hold the more restricted view that killing a human alone is violence. Religions like Christianity posit that all other creatures, plants etc., were created by God for the sake of man. So in
the West preachers of non-violence and other pious virtues do not at all feel there is anything wrong in the immense slaughter of animals and birds that goes on every day in their countries to fill their dinner tables sitting over which they fervently talk their heads off in defending or condemning euthanasia the mercy killing practised by certain physicians. This points out to the homo-centric philosophy that man alone is concerned with pain and pleasure, loss and gain, man alone is everything in the world. There are certain groups of people who take the word 'man' in the above statement to apply literally because they deny to the fair sex even the right to have a soul. According to them the murder of a woman should not by reason be considered *himsa* at all.

Again in this homo-centric view an interesting process of modification is introduced, yielding the theory that one man killing another or others out of personal motive is violence and is fit to be punished, whereas if one group of men slaughters another group in its own interest then it is not prohibitive violence. That is to say, one nation can rise against another in cold-blooded war and massacre as many people as it can while they are unaware or even asleep in their beds at night; that is perfectly justifiable. Almost all countries in modern times act upon this philosophy, even though some of them may not openly accept the theory of it.

All this confusion and corruption, this rage of war on universal scale and every-day acts of aggression in individual life, arise from the negligence of the fact that *ahimsa* is one of the greatest 'vows' of humanity embracing the whole world of creation. जातिदेशकालसम्यासणानात्र दु:सङ्क्रांतः सार्वभौमसः महारक्षय

as Patanjali puts it. The question of restricting the meaning or providing exceptions to the rule of *ahimsa* is altogether untenable. Scriptures do state that in some places that if a wicked man (अधितात्फण) comes to molest and try to take one's life or possessions, then he is to be killed without thinking twice about it. But there is no place for such exceptions as applied to *jivana-yajña*. Because here *ahimsa* must reach its fullest height, its uttermost perfection, then only it will be possible to include in its fold the life of a saint, a *sanyāsin* monk, who gives the boon of fearlessness to the meanest of creatures, to whom even plucking a wayside leaf capriciously and tearing it to pieces is an unbearable offense, a violation of the vow of non-violence.

So the ideal to be placed before everyone is the *ahimsa* which is not merely a prohibition against killing but a rule that avoids giving any kind of hurt to anything on face of earth. A man who very scrupulously and with painstaking care avoids stepping on ants and worms while walking on the street and who on arriving home or his office readily uses the harshest words in dealing with others is not practising non-violence. If he is provoked and yet out of fear or shame restrains himself and keeps silent, all the while burning inwardly with suppressed indignation and curses unuttered, even then it is not true non-violence. For mentally he has committed violence against the people concerned and so long as he nurtures the seed of ill-feeling within it is bound to sprout up some moment.

Very often it is told, "A snake should not sting others to death. But if it stops even hissing then its very life will be in danger". By this the poor worldly man is allowed to nurture the seeds of violence and turn a
veritable serpent. In religion too there is the conception of righteous indignation. It is very dangerous to preach such things. For they are found in certain highly perfected souls on some stray occasions and do not deserve to be used as a model for regular practice of ordinary men. As a rule indignation is never righteous. And the dividing line between potential anger and pretended anger is too dangerously thin. A man who has in him the fangs of poison intact and indulges in ‘hissing’ all day, even for just self-protection, is bound to strike and kill. So all activities and propensities which have the possibility of leading to violence must be rooted out clean, without any fear of being dominated and oppressed by others. Violence is a deceptive shield. It invites and increases rather than wards off trouble. Non-violence to the contrary is real strength.

An interesting episode in the purana effectively illustrates this. Once Krishna along with Arjuna and Satyaki happened to lose their way in a jungle and were forced to spend the night on a tree. To guard against nocturnal wild animals they agreed to keep vigil by turns. Being the youngest of them Satyaki was given the first watch at the end of which he woke up Arjuna in a rather undignified haste. Finding him agitated Arjuna asked, “Any beasts?” “Worse”, answered Satyaki in a half-whisper, “Ghost!” “Ghost?” echoed Arjuna, “don’t be childish”. “I have always been a child to you sir,” replied Satyaki, “But believe me, I am not joking. I tried my best to scare away the ghost but—well, it is up to you now.” and Satyaki laid himself to sleep. Arjuna contemptuously swung up his bow and assumed the post of watch man. He did not have to wait long before a hideous dwarfish figure appeared at the foot of the tree and signed to Arjuna to get down. Arjuna leaned forward and growled, “Who are you and what do you want?” “I am a ghost and live in this tree. You have no right to be here. Get out quickly or else come down and wrestle with me!” came the answer. Arjuna dashed out his angry fist at the dwarf and laid him flat on the ground. He thought he was dead but to his surprise he found that the ghost had risen and seemed to have grown in size. Laughing it came towards Arjuna and challenged him. Arjuna jumped down from the tree and a regular encounter started. Each time Arjuna defeated his enemy, the ghost came up again and again with increased stature and strength till he towered higher than the tree and threatened to swallow Arjuna bow and all. Desperately Arjuna made a last effort and threw him down and looked at the stars. The second watch of the night was expiring and he quickly climbed the tree and woke up Krishna. For shame he did not say what had happened but promptly went to sleep. When it was dawn Satyaki and Arjuna were awakened by Krishna. They asked him anxiously, “Has it been well with you in your night watch?” “Perfect,” answered Krishna and added, “Why, did you have any trouble?” The two warriors exchanged meaningful glances and to save their faces warded off the issue by saying, “Oh, nothing in particular.” But curiosity is a terrible itch and it would not allow them to proceed peacefully on the way and so at last Arjuna narrated the night’s affair to Krishna and asked him if that terrible ghost had visited him too. Krishna said, “I do not know to what ghost you are referring, but a dwarfish figure did come to disturb the peace of the night.” “Did it not challenge you to fight?” “It did. But I just laughed and kept silent; for I knew who it was and what
to do with it. Enraged at my indifference and unable
to stand the pressure of my pity, it swore and shrieked
and tried to taunt me while all the time it grew paler
and thinner till within a few minutes it shrank to the
size of my thumb. In order to relieve it from this plight
I took it and tied it in the end of my cloth." At these
words a mocking disbelief entered into Arjuna and he
shrugged his shoulders saying, "You have not left off
that boyhood instinct for lies, Krishna." To con-
vince them Krishna picked up the end of his upper
garment and undoing a knot there produced that tiny
little creature whom the other two recognised. Com-
pletely baffled Arjuna asked, "You always surpass our
understanding. Tell me, Krishna, who is this?"
And smilingly Krishna told, "Violence is its name,
O Arjuna, and it challenges the most valiant of heroes
and conquers them. The more you oppose it the
greater it grows in strength, for violence feeds on vi-
olence. The only way to defeat it is by non-violence."

Here we get a valuable hint as to the method of
conquering violence by practising non-violence. Non-
vioce is not just a negative attitude. It is a state of
perfection born of the practice of a number of positive
virtues. The principal of them are friendliness (दया)
pity (कर्त्तव्य), gladness (नित्यन) and indifference
(अनेका). These are different, so to say, states of
mind to be consciously and carefully developed and
exercised at the proper time. For violence makes its
assault from many avenues and in various ways. So
one must have beforehand a knowledge of all the possi-
bilities and be thoroughly prepared to face each of them.

The field of violence for the most part is the society
in which we have our daily dealings. This society is
formed of people who are by nature either good and
pious or wicked and corrupt, and, by circumstances
of life, either happy and prosperous or afflicted with
sorrow. It is these natures and circumstances that
afford a hold for violence to be directed on them.

You see a man better off than you and enjoying life
immensely. Immediately you are smitten with envy
and greed. You must somehow get ahead of him or
pull him down if you can, until then there is no rest for
you. That means you take recourse to violence against
him or someone else who is in your way of rising higher.
As to the countless numbers who are much below
your standard, who are in suffering and poverty,
your eyes are veiled by callousness and you cannot see
them or try to do anything for them. Here again
you have done violence. For love denied is nothing
but silent hatred. "Love is the sap of life...repress
the blood, and it becomes a menace and a plague". So
in these two cases greed and callousness are to be won
over respectively by gladness and pity (प्रेम, कर्दम),
celebration and compassion.

Learn to rejoice in the rejoicings of your neighbour
and celebrate with him the festival of life. If you lack
this learning then you must go to the children and acquire
their simplicity. How easily a child is cheered by the
pranks and laughter of others, how unhesitatingly it
accepts anything offered to it by an utter stranger! If
you tell the child, "He is your uncle and will take you
to show his picture-album", it is ready to go with
him wherever he may take it. Such faith and simplic-
ity with which man begins life unfortunately gets faint
and almost traceless, amazed at which the poet asks,
"Is it beyond thee to be glad with the gladness of this
rhythm?..." For the poet is ever a child of Nature,
who ever beholds the vision of one integral vast sea of
life and is eager to lose himself in the rhythm of its majestic waves rather than preserve his individual life-stream sounding discordant and harsh notes on the rugged rocks of ambitious pursuits.

When such oneness with all forms of life is developed then one's heart, just as it expands in the joy of others, will also melt to their sufferings. That does not mean one will become sentimental. Mere sentimentalism is a weakness whereas love and compassion are great powers which lift up both the subject and object above the earthly situation and render a tangible relief at once. It may not be always through a physical act of sharing. Very often even words are not necessary to console or to congratulate. Just the presence of a compassionate and understanding soul heightens your joy and heals your sores. For heart can touch heart and commune with it.

Now with regard to the other two groups of people, who by their natures appear to you to be good or bad, the mental qualities to be developed are friendliness (राग) and indifference (अर्थ). These are coordinate to each other and not contradictory. For friendship with the virtuous and indifference towards the non-virtuous sustain each other and both combined form a strong blockade to violence. Violence comes as a result of reversing the above order, that is by having indifference to the virtuous and friendship with the opposite. A man may have a gentle nature and a noble instinct or might have learnt to value love and compassion better than hatred and aggression. But by constant contact with men of low morals and complete lack of scruples he is bound to lose that gentleness, that instinct or that value. In a tribe of wild people where slaying, strangling, scolding and other such violences are commonly practised, or even in a modern society where the slogan of 'might is right' is raised day and night it is useless to expect the preservation or production of a non-violent personality. The constant observation of the scenes of violence, the perpetual praise and popularisation of the philosophy of violence, all this leaves deep impressions on the subconscious mind and this is bound to erupt into action when favourable circumstances offer themselves. And once the blood of violence is tasted then the thirst for it increases till it becomes an incurable instinct. Hence from the beginning it is wise to keep off from all violent associations.

Just as foul atmosphere pollutes so also does a clean one purify you. Virtue is a holy contagion and hence one must by effort seek the association of those who value and practise non-violence.

One may ask, "Is it not a better thing to practise indifferent both to virtue and vice?" The answer is a definite 'no'. Because the question is about practice; and a practicant must apply himself zealously to the acquiring of virtues and elimination of vice, for which purpose he must first observe and recognise these in others. If he is indifferent to detect and delight in the virtues of the virtuous he will never succeed in or feel inclined to attempt at the mastering of those virtues. When this success is complete and there is no danger of either losing virtue or gaining vice, then in that state of perfection one naturally transcends both the values. This cannot be achieved by working for it. In fact it is positively dangerous for the ordinary man to slacken his seeking of virtue while, as a rule, vice abounds all around him; nor should he through a
misguided sense of sympathy try to be friendly with those given to violence, taking them to be 'angels with dirty faces.'

At the same time it should be emphasised that one should not wilfully dwell on the vice of others. There is a natural tendency in man to gloat over other's shortcomings. Scandal is a sweet intoxicant. And the worst of it is that the more you dwell on the vice of the other man the deeper do you colour your own mind with it. Many reformers and well-meaning enthusiastic workers fall a victim to this. So from the beginning this tendency of finding fault with others must be scrupulously put down. Even if one cannot help observing a glaring lapse in another, one should try to quietly forget it. Instead of bothering about other's affairs if one exerts the same energies in self-introspection and weeding out of vice within, this will save one from such mischief and serve as a great spiritual benefit.

Patanjali claims:—सत्त्विधो वेष्टिः, in the presence of one perfected in ahimsa, all beings lose the feeling of enmity. Descriptions of forest-retreats (ashramas) in ancient times picture to us the scenes of lions and lambs, tigers and cows, snakes and kites playing friendly with each other. Whether we desire to have such ashramas or not, certainly we will have to develop that air of concord amidst human societies and nations and not degenerate to levels worse than bestial as history has again and again recorded in notorious world-wars, revolutions and civil conflicts.

Man has the terrible responsibility of choosing between vowing himself to practise ahimsa to the fullest extent and adding his own bit to universal suicide.

PRACTICE OF TRUTH

Like ahimsa truth-speaking is also a saarvabhousa mahavrata. The Atharva-veda says, सत वृहत्र राजम्. It is incumbent on everyone. In its simplest form it can be defined as बयार बाहिन महेन—maintenance in one's speech of strict and exact correspondence with one's knowledge of the thing spoken. This involves full earnestness to express that knowledge, as clearly as possible without any desire for confounding or with-holding. Every shade of lying is prohibited.

A decent and cultured man instinctively resents being called a liar. But it is very difficult to say how many of them really have the conviction that lying is bad. "What is the harm in lying if I am clever enough to get away with it? and what is the good of speaking the truth when it does not pay?" These are questions daily posed within every heart but in very rare cases fully considered and answered. So the first thing necessary is to make it clear in the mind that lie never works. Untruth by itself can never give you any benefit. It must come masked as truth in order to get things worked for you. You tell a lie always with the hope that it will pass off for truth. If it does not and the other man knows you are lying then the lying does not work, instead you go down in the eyes of the man. Does not the cheat then owe his success to truth, to trustfulness?

If there was nothing like truth in the world then life can not go on for one full day. Supposing every
one vows to tell only lies, then if you are hungry and you are offered food you will say, “No, thanks, I am full”. Or when you ask for food the housewife will say, “There is no food”. You cannot eat, go out, get on with people, or do anything fruitful for that matter. That is why it is impossible to see any one who has never told a truth, whereas history records the lives of many who never spoke an untruth and preferred great sufferings and even total self-sacrifice to a slight compromise with it. So it is gross mistake to believe, as it is popularly believed, that a lie works or that truth does not pay.

During the Mahabharata war when Drona, the commander-in-chief of the Kaurava army proved invincible and in devastating form, the Pandavas, in their helplessness, sought to win him through a stratagem. Drona was very much attached to his son Aswathama. The Pandavas thought that if they gave the false declaration that Aswathama was killed then in extreme anguish Drona might cease to fight or at any rate grow weak and be easily overwhelmed. But the problem was how to make Drona swallow the yarn. He as well as many others knew that Aswathama was blessed with immortality. Yet through certain manipulations King Yudhishthira was persuaded to make the declaration. And hearing it Drona could not but believe it, however incredible it seemed. Because Yudhishthira was sworn to Truth and that he should utter a falsehood was even more incredible. As if struck by a thunderbolt Drona laid down his arms and was instantaneously killed.

When you analyse this much-discussed episode of the Mahabharata it will be found that the triumph is not of the seeming lie but of the truth-speaking to which Yudhishthira was sworn. But for it Drona could have never believed the news and surrendered himself. The same is the case with the so-called success of untruth in our daily life. People have the innate faith that man will ordinarily speak only the truth.

It may be asked, “If for the sake of success untruth has to masquerade in the garb of truth let it be so. We will allow truth that much commission. But the success all the same belongs to untruth. Then so long as it works why not resort to it?” It is just that this view when taken up by each person makes short work of the whole scheme. Just as you desire to tell lies and gain by the trust of others, even so does the other man want to cheat you of the goods promised by the lie. When there is no truth anywhere and everyone knows definitely that the other is a liar then lies do not work. The veil falls away and naked untruth shows itself like a blister or a sore on the social body. “Do not do unto others what you would not have others do to you” (पारम्परिक परेंच्छन्न समाचर —) is a simple rule whose violation means social disorder, fall of efficiency and ultimate material bankruptcy. Hence Patanjali says, “When truth is established actions become fruitful” (सत्यशास्त्रियम् निजान्तकलाभम्). On a single word from a truthful man huge amounts are transacted while a confirmed liar can not find any who will lend him a pie. When great decisions are to be made in national or family life, it is the man of truthfulness and sterling character that is sought after.

It is a tragedy that in our present day constitution, our legal procedure is such that lying becomes legitimate and practised by the very institutions which are meant
to deliver justice. Any accused produced in our law courts is entitled to engage a lawyer to plead for him, on the supposition that he may be innocent and deserve to prove himself. The supposition that only wrong persons are always produced as accused makes our police and institutions of law meaningless. Apart from that, the lawyer is exposed to the necessity of pleading for the criminal in an obvious effort to prove him innocent. And if he argues cleverly and confounds the other party then the Judge too must bow down to that brilliancy and on the point of law acquit the criminal, even though his personal conviction may be otherwise. This just means that we have made lying a dignified profession and created a tradition to stick to laws in letters and lies in living. Whereas the scriptural tradition is never to lose the spirit of truth even in a seeming lie. Reversing this rule is nothing but giving rise to a wholesome untruth. Strict concurrence of thought, word and reality is the essence of truth-speaking.

Then there is that second monstrosity of modern advancement and indulgence—the newspaper. It is a psychological mystery how it continues to hold the faith of one and all inspite of very clear proofs as to its being an institution of lies and guesses for the most part. Perhaps there is a thrill, a sensual pleasure in pronouncing and hearing to untruths, half-truths and cooked-up truths that never happened. Most people say, “The days are bad, one is forced to a lie, how can we help it?” But by this very statement they are betraying themselves to a lie. Because such instances are indeed very rare in which you are helplessly driven to take refuge in untruth. Ninety percent of your burden of lies are silly, unwarranted and voluntarily taken upon.

You are sitting at your reading desk and nodding in a drowse. Your friend drops in and pulls you up. You immediately remonstrate, “No, I was not sleeping”—as if sleeping in your room is such a shameful thing.

You see a brick falling from a house on the street down-town and you go home to report to your friends, “A house collapsed on the way and I escaped by hair’s breadth”.

Like this there are any number of instances analyzing which you must agree that if we give up this habit of exaggerating and resorting to cheap means of winning other’s admiration or appearing to be interesting, then the path of truth-speaking will be infinitely much smoother.

As to those few occasions when there is a real moral conflict and a compromise with truth is demanded of you, there are many ways of handling the situation. It is not enough to be earnest and obstinate in order to stick to truth. A certain amount of cleverness and understanding is required. The Devi-bhagavata provides an interesting illustration in the form of the well-known story where a butcher chasing his victim-cow comes across sage Satyavrata (meaning one who is vowed to speaking the truth). The sage has obviously seen the fleeing animal entering a nearby copse and when the butcher asks him whether he saw a cow passing that way, the sage is caught in a fix between violation of satya on one side and ahimsa on the other. For, as we have already seen under ahimsa, one who abets by or in any way assists in an act of violence, also shares the sin of that act. Satyavrata ponders for a minute and declares, “That which sees can never speak and that which
speaks can never see. O hunter, what are you asking?" The butcher must have been confounded by this philosophy of seer and speaker; not being so intelligent as to see through this subtle answer he must have hurried on leaving Satyavrata and his vow intact.

If one does not possess this presence of mind one must have the boldness to refuse any disclosure and receive the consequences of it. Or, like the prophet of Nazareth before the judges, one may maintain perfect silence. It is a wrong notion to consider not telling the truth as a moral lapse. To the contrary there is the specific injunction that the fact known to you as truth should not be disclosed if it means suffering or loss to others who do not deserve it.

Many people complain with a tinge of self-pride, "You see, these folks do not like me, because I am a plain-spoken man; I am not afraid of telling them to their faces facts which are unpalatable to them." Such complainants should bear in mind that dharma can never lead to adharma, truth which is dharma can never create sufferings which are the fruits of adharma. Actually a harsh truth is only truth in appearance and "by uttering it you get sin instead of merit" (तत्र यथा-भाषणं कहिः प्रामाणिः) says Vyasa while commenting on the Yoga-sutras.

Hence improvising on the simplest definition of truth with which we started, Yajnavalkya adds, "Truth is that which is beneficial to all beings, not mere concurrence of statement and fact—सत्य मूलादृढ़ श्रवयात न विशासनमीलयान।" At the same time we have to bear in mind that this is no encouragement to make our talk pleasing by giving a sweet coating of falsehood. It then becomes flattery. And flattery is nothing but flowery lies, covering the rotten corpse of desires. If you have some material profit to be gained from a man you seek to placate him with fawning words, bathe him in a shower of brilliant attributes, while at heart you know he is not worth a straw. You deny the merits you possess and decry yourself in order to bloat him.

It is possible that by all this you may succeed in pleasing an uncultured mind, but all the same he will know that you are a liar. You fall in his esteem and deserve only the meagre alms that are thrown to cheap entertainers. Very often such tactics reward you with nothing but humiliation. Lasting merits come irresistibly to the man of honesty, self-honour and hard work.

There is another peculiar form of falsehood into which a man is led in his attempt to speak pleasing words. That consists of uttering blessings without the capacity to effect them. This is rather a difficult thing to understand at the outset. There is such a thing as the power to bless or to curse. Scriptures attribute it to austerity (सत्यांस्य). The great rishis and brahmans of spiritual merit possess it. The puranas record many instances of virtuous women having used this power. The yoga system recognised that when a person has strictly adhered to truth all his life then he attains to saak-shakti, that is, whatever he says is bound to happen. Naturally with his strict adherence to truth which means a great restraint on speech, he will not indulge in a habitual or professional prophesying. An amoghavak or man of saak-shakti only indicates that even if by chance a word slips out of his mouth it will not go in vain. Such people when their hearts are moved to pity by another soul's sufferings or are gladdened by the good services and humbleness of
others, then they may consciously will that the sufferings be removed or the goodness be rewarded. This is what we mean by 'blessing'. And from endless time humanity has been the recipient of such blessings from saintly souls. It is not just a psychological effect but a spiritual law. Just as physical energy can be handed over by one body to another by charging, even so spiritual energy stored in a person through austerity can be conveyed to another person in order to yield material or spiritual good. But those who have no such store of energy can not by merely uttering lofty words induce any such effect. The utterance in that case will be clearly a case of deception either of oneself or of the object of blessing. It is like sending a cheque to the bank where you have no balance to your credit. Many souls, otherwise sincere and advanced in spiritual life, fall to this deception. And as to the regular cheats in sack cloth and ashes who parade as saintly men bent on curing the diseased, granting boons of success in love affairs, civil-suits, university examinations, gaining of progeny and such endless mundane items, they blacken the fair face of religious faith on which they thrive. What is the amount of their own spiritual ruin need not be told. The basis of all this is the particular practice of untruth in the form of giving empty blessings.

This does not exclude a real loving heart from having good feelings towards and great expectations about another. Entertaining best wishes or even praying for others is an entirely different thing from blessing in the way we have explained, for in this the burden and ego of sanction does not lie on the praying soul. It does not even know if the prayer or wish will come true. So it is just a use of sweet words and has no reference to truth.

Hence in the definition of truth, to avoid these extremes and dangers caused by the insistence on sweetness, another modification follows: "Speak sweet things but not the untruth (तिष्ठति नाट्यं भ्रमणं")". All these factors go to make satya a great tapas, a restraint on speech and a constant mental vigilance purging the mind of subtle desires.

One may ask, "Why all this botheration? No one can help hurting by speech, or even avoid stating oneself in dubious terms sometimes. Let each man sincerely strive to follow the voice of conscience, speak to his best ability of correctness. Then the observance of truth will become simple and natural." It is true. Truth as we have told is very difficult to observe and we wish it could be simpler. But this is not achieved by introducing conscience. The concept of conscience though familiar to many nowadays is purely a Western production and is not found in our philosophy. Actually 'conscience' is an inward sense, a voice of the mind. Now, mind has many voices according to the many sanskaras or impressions it has received. It will be difficult to pitch upon any one of these voices as the voice of God or conscience. Actually it will be wrong. Mind cannot be led by mind. If by conscience you mean the buddhi, the deciding factor or will, this too is liable to corruption and first needs rigorous training before becoming the director of the mind. Satya itself is an item in this training of the intellect and as such we cannot put the cart before the horse and use the blunt edge of the untrained buddhi in the name of conscience to test truth which is meant to sharpen that very buddhi.
So we are left with the necessity of accepting these definitions and instructions provided by the scriptures. Like a tent which is well-pitched when firmly held by ropes on all sides, truth becomes established when subjected to these various considerations. Another reason is, Truth in truth is not a thing that can be explained in words or in any human endeavour (सत्यवाच). The great philosopher Shankara states: "That whose nature never undergoes any change from what has been tested and decided upon, that is truth (वेतन वर्ण यथिरैष्टत /सत्यसमस्तरति सत्यम्)". This can apply only to the Brahman of the Upanishads, the beginningless, deathless, absolute, self-luminous principle of existence-knowledge-bliss, which is untouched by any modification. So no words can objectivise it. Yet what we call truth in our daily life, the subject of our present discussion and one of the foremost moral disciplines stated by Yajnavalkya and other seers, is so called because it helps one to realise the Truth. Just as in the Gita the group of virtues beginning with humbleness (प्राधीनिकम्) and running up to direct perception mentioned in Chapter XIII are called knowledge (सूतकम्) because they are means to the knowledge. Of all the disciplines that lead to the attainment of Truth or Perfection, this discipline of speech, whose roots cover both the physical and mental fields, is the central shaft. When this is shaken the whole structure collapses. "The effulgent atman, immanent in the body, is realised through satya—"सत्येन समस्तवस्याः फूंब धार्माः" (Mundakopanishad).

ASTEYA—NON-THEIVING

Whatever may be the views of people on non-violence and truth, there can be no two opinions about stealing. There may be groups which are for amassing huge armies for self-protection, and readily compromise with truth in order to gain national aims. But even they will not advocate stealing. Those socialists who dream of an Utopia where not only army, but police and law-courts will be done away with, they too do not speak for stealing but the elimination of it through proper education, distribution of wealth etc. In short nowhere except in savage communities and gangs of bandits, is it felt that stealing is in any way a virtue. In such a case, it seems absurd to depict human society that nonstealing should be preached and that the scriptures should give it a prominence next to the foremost couple, ahimsa and satya. Moreover, thieves are a social evil and are to be dealt by laws and conventions suited to that particular society. It is some thing like the 'keep-to-the-left' rule of the road we have adopted in order to secure safety and speed in traffic. There is no fundamental universality about it. We can as well choose the right hand side as people in the United States do. So there is no meaning in dharma, which deals with fundamental laws of human nature and welfare, attempting to preach and provide for the prohibition of stealing.

All this is quite true and we will be glad to abdicate our necessity of dealing with asteya here, but for two reasons.
Accepting the gross and apparent meaning of 'stealing' on which the above argument is based, *asteya* still deserves the place it has been given. Otherwise the mentality which instinctively abhors stealing will become weakened gradually and finally be wiped out. It is astonishing how quickly aesthetic and moral standards of an established culture may degenerate. Like an expensive and elaborate mural painting, a developed culture has to be maintained by constant retouching and other precautionary measures.

Some time back while visiting one of the foremost cities in north-India with a university of its own, we were told that the college students had objected to classes in moral training on the grounds, "We are neither babies nor blackguards." There were strikes and many unpleasant incidents on this score and eventually the classes had to be stopped. At the same time it was found that a large number of students who live in college hostels are in the habit of wandering into crowded shops without buying anything and return to their rooms with their pockets stuffed with spoons, packets of razor-blades, soap cakes etc. It is one of their favourite pastimes.

Modern movies and crime-fiction have made juvenile delinquency an insoluble problem for many a country with all its restricting laws and reformatory institutions. The failure to stress on "Thou shalt not steal" is the error constituted here. It is therefore absolutely necessary to avoid confusing the concept of non-stealing to the limits of a social arrangement. It has to be elevated to the level of a philosophic concept of life. Hence by introducing it as an essential part of *jivana-yoga* life becomes a sufficiently serious affair and automatically eschews all light-hearted indulgences that ultimately lead to a criminal instinct.

Secondly, when the scriptures talk of *astiya* they mean much more than the gross act of it. To grasp their true import we must refer to a significant verse in *Srimad Bhagavata*:

**Sanskrit**

शास्त्रविशेष श्रूणे शाश्वस्त्रण हि देहिनाओऽ

धर्माभास योगश्रेयस सत्तानि दशबधुरानि।

"The embodied soul has rightful ownership only on that much which fills his belly. He who claims more is a thief and deserves punishment". It sounds rather too severe. But the illustrious writer of this great work has weighed each word in this couplet and used it with deep significance. At the very outset he prefers to call man "dehi", one endowed with physical body. This helps us to understand what he means by 'filling the belly'. Just as mind is the seat of experience so is body the centre of one's material world. One's relations with all other beings and things refer only to this body. 'Mother', 'child', and so on are essentially terms of blood-relationship. All the activities that go under the name of earning a livelihood concentrate on the single function of clothing, feeding and keeping this body in proper condition. So the real property that a man can reasonably claim is that which he needs to maintain his body and those bodies immediately connected with his, that is to say, family members who depend on him. This much is to be understood by the 'filling of belly' in the above verse. For, the literal meaning will be absurd and reduce life of non-stealing to an impossibility. Even the fowls of the air, ants and insects have to save and hoard for their family and future use. In short, the *Bhagavata* prescribes a reasonable form of simple living with no more possessions than the necessities of a communal life.
But still there seems to be a vagueness about the limit. Who can decide what are the bare necessities of another man? Each man has his own standard. For a hard-working clerk in an office the increase of ten chips in his monthly pay becomes a luxury. Whereas a prince whose kingdom has been taken over by the Republic feels miserable in managing with one lakh of rupees a month. Naturally we cannot call the prince a thief because of his throne, his fine palaces and gorgeous robes and other royal paraphernalia. Asteya does not attempt at creating a barren uniformity as that of a military barracks or the lifeless routine and security of a welfare-state that distributes equal share of the same stuff to clothe and feed all its members. Asteya trains each man to regulate his desires by confining himself to the standard of the state he is in. The least possession that is unnecessary to maintain that state should be regarded as illegal. This can be easily determined by each person in practical life by trying to do away with things and not by trying to gather them. The more you gather and enjoy, the more sensitive do you become to comforts and facilities. And then you begin to rationalise. This is a danger common to all the different avenues of spiritual life. One should learn to detect and avoid this.

There is a slight difficulty still lingering in whole-heartedly accepting the Bhagavata theory of possession. In the struggle for human existence many unnecessary things accumulate. One cannot help it. To go on eliminating them will be an endlessly futile and tiresome effort like removing the foam from the surface of a rushing stream. Bhagavata solves this question by the use of the ‘abhimanayata’ — he who nurtures abhimana (the egoistic identification of ‘this is mine’) with regard to excess things, he alone is fit to be punished. Mere physical possession or proximity (वार्तक) is not meant here. It is the inward grasping, the greed that commits the crime. Let the inevitable excess things lie with you, why should you bother. There are ever so many things lying with others in the world. They do not affect you. Just because you have received them as a result of your labour it does not mean you should be bound by them. You may even have to work further in order to preserve them and pass them on to posterity; still it deserves no attachment. The cashier in a bank transacts a large amount of money in a day and yet he does not covet them or try to pocket them. He can not. The police will be ready to catch him even for a single pie. But he is free to put his abhimana in those few hundreds he gets as his monthly pay. None can object to it since it is his legal earning. Similarly the soul in its sojourn in life must deal with things as if they did not belong to it.

And after all that is the truth. This world is the Bank of God and all things in it are like bank-notes, constantly shifting, loaned out, changing hands and never possessed. This currency which you carefully pocket in the interior of the coat, how many fears, fights and cares it has evoked in every one of the countless souls who attached themselves to it with the idea, "It is mine"? Matter is in a state of constant flux, continuous flowing out and appearing in different names and forms, this body, these dear ones, these buildings, vehicles, wealth and treasures. It is the height of absurdity if any one claims, "This is mine". Hence the opening mantra of Isasasyapanishad posits: "Covet not. Think of it, whose is this wealth?" If it really belonged to you then you will not have to go weeping and bare-handed when death calls at your door. As it is, you can
not carry a worn-out coin with you, even this body is to be left here stiff and cold and fit only to be hidden away or destroyed hastily. Greed is the most absurd of man's passions. When it shows up, when one is convinced of its absurdity then only can true asteya be practised.

Yet greed seems to have become the pulse of our present day civilization. It has become confused with healthy ambition and divine discontent. Labourer is encouraged to 'steal' by doing least and demanding most while the capitalist has the temptation of spending the least and extracting the most. So between thief and thief there is always strife. If not, they say there is no progress.

Even now there are some people of the merchant class who amass great wealth and still if his dhoti is torn they will prefer to put few stitches and wear it instead of throwing it away. Modern society may contemptuously call them misers and old-fashioned but it scarcely has leisure enough to inquire and find out how many crores of rupees every year are spent by these 'miser' in giving away to orphanages, feeding-houses and choultries in pilgrimage centres, and other such charities. They do it silently and naturally as a matter of duty and for their own spiritual good. Timeless family tradition has imbued them with the pious conviction that all this wealth is given by the Lord and belongs to Him. There is neither show nor sense-gratification in this. A wealth that is thus used can justly earn for the owner the merits of following asteya. And the label of 'miser' must in truth be applied to every one of us who entertains the ideal of 'raised standard of living', that is to say, earn and enjoy more and more, and all for yourself, no matter if old parents have
to be turned out of the house or the husband forsaken, no matter if public funds are misused or senior officers cheated. As a result of such a philosophy of progress we have indeed covered much ground; only to move very far away from that ancient state in which an Upanishadic king could boast, "There is no thief, no adulterer in my country". Now house to house, and in every department of offices, all places are filled with 'thieves', decent educated and modern 'thieves'. Government has to work up an elaborate form of police vigil, anti-corruption drives, confidential records etc., and place a CID man behind each official. But the problem becomes unbearable when that CID man himself proves to be a 'thief' and needs a check.

This crisis of our age calls out for an entire revision of the social philosophy and sincere attempt to base all social activities on the principles of asteya, which prohibit even mentally coveting the property of others. On the one hand through our advertisements, filmfare, crime-fiction and political policies we teach the people mental and outright thieving and on the other hand we waste our energies in trying to reform society. True reform can be achieved only by honouring the ideal of asteya and encouraging its practice in individual life of simplicity bereft of an enormous greed to secure possessions and an intense attachment to things possessed.

The Zen-buddhists have a remarkable story to narrate in this connection. A Zen monk lived in a lonely cell in the outskirts of a city. One night a thief decided to break into the cell and waited for the monk to finish his prayers, put out the light and retire. But the hours went by and mid-night was long past and still the monk was at his prayer-book. Tired and disgusted, the thief took courage and grasping his iron
rod firmly he rattled at the door. The monk asked: “Who is that?” and the robber shouted, “Open the door immediately or I will break open your skull”. The monk replied in his normal voice, “Turn the latch to your left and come in”. The thief entered cautiously flourishing his weapon and declared, “Don’t move an inch from your place till I take what I want and quit; and don’t you try to follow me”. The monk replied, “You are foolish. I would not for anything in the world abandon my prayers in the middle. Meanwhile don’t disturb me. Here is the key. Open that small tin box in the corner and you will find few coins in that and that is all there is. See that you don’t make much noise.” The robber took the key and gathered the coins as well as other few belongings of the monk and was preparing to leave when the monk paused in his recitations and called to him, “Brother, you should learn a little decency. You must thank me for the things before you leave.” The robber unaccustomed to such treatment simply said, “Thank you” and left the monk to resume his prayers.

Few days after the incident the thief was caught by the police in connection with some other crimes he had committed in the city and in their investigations they came upon the monk’s stolen goods. The thief confessed and the monk was called to identify the man and the goods. When the police asked the monk, “Do you know this fellow who stole your things?” the monk replied, “Oh, I do recognize this man, but he did not steal my things. I gave it away to him few days back and he even thanked me for it. Did you not, Brother?” The thief’s face had suddenly changed and there was a lump in his throat that would not allow him to speak. The monk’s case was dismissed but due to other crimes

the thief was sentenced to imprisonment. After finishing his term in the prison he directly went to the monk and fell at his feet weeping like a child, “Master, I will never do it again. In my turn I give myself to you, please accept me”; and he was forthwith made a Brother.

When you implant your ownership over a thing without the permission of its rightful owner then it is a theft whereas if it is done with the permission of the owner it becomes charity (दान), which we will deal with later. Asteya in its general form consists in abstaining from the former mentally and physically. And when asteya practised in day-to-day worldly life reaches its perfection, it leads to complete non-possession (निरसन) of the sannyasin, the all-renouncer, who belongs to the last stage of progress in the varnashrama scheme of life.
PURITY

Man has been provided with a pair of eyes that he may directly perceive the things around him. The defectless eye sees everything, but not itself. By no means can the eyes see itself directly. You may gaze into a mirror or placid water and say, "Look at my face, my eyes are blue" but you are only looking at the image. Yet through the image you get an accurate and useful knowledge about the details of your face. Similarly Vedanta holds that atman, the principle of existence, the only Truth whose realisation is the last perfection in life, cannot be perceived though it is the essence of the light of knowledge and all-pervading. Sri Krishna too declares in Bhagavad Gita "I am not revealed everywhere, being wrapped up in yoga-maya". It is the case of the self-effulgent sun hidden by thick clouds. To get a vision of it you need clear eye-sight and a clear sky or a clear reflecting surface. So, for the realisation of atman which takes place in the 'mental sky' (पुर्वकाल) immanent in the body, the eye of knowledge should open and the field consisting of the gross and subtle frames, mind and body, should be purged of all impurities. Purity is the portal to perfection, an essential condition for supreme enlightenment.

Thus we have two cotyledones of purity—that of gross body and that of the subtle mind. Though both of them are equally important and developed simultaneously, yet it is easier always to go from the gross to the subtle. For many in the ordinary ranks cleansing the mind becomes too much a strain and they may even abandon the entire effort out of fear. This accounts for the comparatively elaborate dealing of physical purity, enormous number of rules and restrictions in daily acts found in the Smritis and Puranas. Very often a superfluous observer launches the accusation that Hinduism stinks of mere show and shallow rituals whereas real purity in thought is not practised at all. Such people find encouragement in the great personalities who, from the times of the Buddha to Vivekananda and the modern age, have stressed on internal values alone and openly decrying external observances. It is true that according to the times some change of stress may be found necessary. But before we raise slogans against a 'kitchen-pot religion' and 'superstitious ringing of bells and waving of lights', cooked up by cunning priestcraft, let us pause and think how much of the fencing should be pulled down around the young plant, how much of the shell should we scrape out to expose the kernel.

Once condemning becomes a pet theory and a fashion, then there is no discrimination of whom you are addressing and to what length you should go. Invariably you swing to the other extreme which is a thousand times more horrible—you have a society where there are neither external restraints nor internal worth, everyone can profess and believe with impunity that he is pure at heart while actually he is rotten at the core. This is not only a possibility but an inevitable result. For, here we are not speculating but studying the nature of man. Man's mind is very subtle, complicated and an easy victim to self-delusion. It abhors restraint in general and to avoid going through the disciplinary process of purification it will easily build up a defence
fortification in the form of the conviction, “I am pure and good, what need is there of purity?” If impurity shows itself strongly through gross misbehaviour, moral lapse etc., then the mind in revolt flings the next defence—viz., justification—“What is there in sweet external behaviour? It is a pretense, a pitiful anxiety to show oneself as good. My lusts and ambitions are healthy and natural, there is no sin in trying to express them. Superstition believes them to be sin; to try to eliminate them is sheer waste of life,” and so on. With this all possible ways of detection of fault are blocked, and the fester goes on inside. Progress in purity of any kind becomes a far cry. Instead, all sorts of abnormal, indecent indulgences come into play; and the innate human sense of decorum and dignity creates cross-currents cutting at the very roots of life. Man in his eagerness to avoid the trouble of external observances falls into the abyss of a fundamental bitterness in life, in his very attempt to prevent the surface ruffle he plunges into a vortex of complete chaos. This has been unceasingly witnessed in history whenever over-enthusiasm of reformatory schools broke down external forms of religion, as for instance in the case of mediaeval Buddhism.

Hence we should zealously stick to the general rule that the internal state must have a suitable external observance for its validity. If one comes across a character which bears definite proofs of a radiant purity under a very repelling exterior, then it should be considered an exception and respected, but not acclaimed before all as an ideal to be followed. Some ruins do contain treasures. That does not mean we will go about poking at heaps of trash or pull down our houses and start digging for treasures. As to the off-criticised class of people who have meticulous external observance of purity but internally harbour much dirt, these are neither to be condemned nor copied but encouraged to strive towards internal development also. For, they have at least started on something and possess the potentiality to proceed further.

With all these ideas in the background we must approach the topic of external purity in order to break the resistance that has been developed in most minds by modern trends of thought, a prejudice that cuts at the very roots of scriptural faith and deprives one of the untold good derived from following its injunctions.

Physical purity again falls into two categories, internal to the body and external to it. Purity internal to the body is attained by certain yogic exercises like neti, dhouti etc., which thoroughly cleanse the internal system, as well as by taking pure food. There are many ways in which food can be polluted, but we shall deal with this later.

Purity external to the body rests on the common ways of cleanliness, bathing in sumptuous waters, wearing washed clothes and maintaining the surroundings free from dirt. Though we have noted them as common acts, a lot of corruption and confusion seems prevalent in them also. One of the things that are chiefly responsible for this is the importance given to attractive appearance. Most moderners in India, evidently in imitation of the West, do not take bath in the mornings but just change clothes, wash and powder the face and go out to their work. They look as decent and neat without a bath and that is all they want. Similarly about the clothes. The same set is worn day after day, the crease being carefully preserved by folding the clothes at the end of every day and putting under the pillow. The crease is the criterion and no one
notices the layer of dirt on the inside of neck-collars and hand-cuffs that goes on thickening. There is also the habit of spitting on the shoes while brushing them. It is supposed to make them shine better. All these are against the spirit of shauca.

The smritis insist, to the contrary, that as soon as a man leaves his bed, he should ease his bowels, clean his mouth and throat, take bath and wear washed clothes even though they may not be creased or even if they be torn. The body becomes polluted through sleeping and no work can be done in the proper spirit of sanctity without having a bath. And in taking bath too there are many rules for observation and verses to be recited containing such beautiful ideas as, "The waters are the form of God, the Purifier. I enter into God in order to purify, my body and by meditating on Him through this verse, I allow God to enter into me and purify my mind. May all beings be pleased with this bath of mine." Such observations elevate the mind, transform the simple act of bathing into a worship and prepare you for a day of peace, good-will and noble strivings. But we seem to have scarcely any time or respect for these few little things; we can only wet our bodies for a minute in the dirtiness of a bathtub or under the tap and rush to be in time for the morning papers.

Manu strongly condemns taking food before bath and prayers. To any cultured mind the idea of gulping down bed-coffee or tea with dirt inside and outside of body, is nauseating. Of all the natural activities of man, eating is particularly a special ceremony. It is not just stuffing the belly or placating the palate. It is a vital function of charging life with fuel, on the quality of manner of which will depend the functioning of the entire psycho-physical mechanism of man. For, food affects not only the physical purity internal to the body as has been already pointed out, but also mental purity. The Chandogya Upanishad states: "From purity of food arises purity of mind, purity of mind leads to divine dwelling, and out of such dwelling is effected the breaking of all bonds, supreme liberation". It is clearly stated in another place that mind is built by the food consumed. Fast for twelve days at a stretch and then try your mental powers in any work. Your mind fails. And on slow dieting the mind regains its vigour. If on some day you are treated to a heavy dinner the effect is immediately felt in the mental field—you feel drowsy and dull. Similarly certain food-stuffs excite the mind, irresistibly impel it towards futile and frivolous activity. Then again there are things which when eaten soothen the system and keep the mind tranquil, firm and keen in the right perception of things. These three are respectively the tamasic, rajasic and satvic food, a list of which is given in the Bhagavad Gita (17. 8-10). For a life of purity satvic food alone is helpful and the other two must always be avoided however delicious they may be, because they are polluted by their very nature. This is called fault innate in the food (भौनक रोग).

Then there are other kinds of faults in food. By nature it may be satvic and agreeable but if it is kept in a dirty vessel or container then also it becomes polluted and causes undesirable effects in the eater. This is the श्रावण दोष, the fault of the container. Modern craze for frequenting all sorts of hotels and eating from street-vendors involves this risk to a great extent. It is understandable how people with scientific outlook and who also witness the way dishes are cleaned
and handled in eating houses still do not desist from eating there. Food into which dust, hair or any such dirt has fallen also becomes unfit for use. This is due to निषिद्ध दोष, fault of an external factor. Then there is that theory of दूषित दोष, fault of sight. Food seen by a hungry person or animal or by a stranger who has no love to you and therefore may harbour ill-feelings towards you, is also supposed to cause harm to the eater. This may appear fantastic to many. But some modern researches relating to the effects of mind on external objects, especially those conducted in the Rice institute of United States of America, seem to support this theory. And we may hope to get more light from future scientific researches. Anyhow, the gist of it is, eating in all sorts of indiscriminate gathering is not approved of. It ought to be as private as possible.

It is also said that food, a part of which has been offered to God, to the departed forefathers, to hungry wayfarers and to household animals and birds that take refuge in you, is very pure. "He who eats such sacramental food attains to Brahman, whereas he who cooks all for himself verily eats sin" (Gita). Here is an instance of the scriptural technique employed to connect internal values with external observances. The entire mode and meaning of external observances consist in the development of such values as devotion, mercy, gratefulness etc., which is what is meant by mental purity. Commenting on the word 'shaucha' occurring in the seventh verse of the thirteenth chapter of the Gita, Acharya Sri Shankara states, "Shaucha is washing the dirt of the body with water and clay, and removing the internal pollutions of the mind like lust etc., through development of opposite attitudes (प्रतिवेद भावना)." We saw in the case of ahimsa how maitri, mudita, karuna etc., oppose and overcome the feelings of hatred, jealousy and cruelty. Similarly with every other passion of the mind, a wave rising is met by an opposing wave and as a result the surface becomes quiet. This is the process of internal purification to which external purity naturally leads.

Patanjali clearly states: the purpose of shaucha (external purity) is to destroy attachment to one's own body as well as to that of others (स्वाच्छ बुध्य पररस्मार्यं). This is a completely different outlook for those accustomed to consider cleanliness as a means only to physical health. If you are a person with a developed sense of cleanliness then you will find yourself restricted in your contact with others. You hesitate to shake hands with a friend who has just now unlaced his shoes full of dust and dirt of the street and has not washed his hands. You fold your hands in the Indian way of greeting which is perfectly polite and safe. Then afraid that your friend will defile whatever object he lays his hands upon, you perhaps offer him water to wash. In this way many other such observances of cleanliness are imposed upon all your friends. This is not possible always in public and hence you naturally do not come in close physical touch with strangers. Where there is obvious physical cleanliness and even charm yet lack of mental purity will prevent you from contacting that person. Many times it happens that you come across a sweet looking face and for a long time wish and even strive to establish a relation with him or her and when at last you succeed then you are disappointed to discover some very serious mental flaw in that person. She is uncultured, garrulous and vain, or he may be a drunkard, a thief, a selfish, cruel man. Then you are repelled and retreat from that contact and a score of awful entanglements and bitter experiences.
Thus your standards of purity save you from tremendous amount of both physical and mental pollution by restricting your contacts. For each person through his bodily contact passes on to you something of his 'aura', his qualities good and bad, just as he leaves his 'scent' on his clothes and other belongings which sensitive dogs are able to sniff and trace out. This is particularly to be borne in mind in these days of club-life with its full indulgence in indiscreet mixing with all sorts of people.

This *asamsarga* or absence of contact is not the fruit of a fear-complex or in any way a suspicious and fastidious nature always doubting the cleanliness of the neighbour. It is born of a realisation of the fundamental and innate impurity of the human body and thus it creates in you ब्रुत्थमा, a sort of disgust for one's own body. Many times in a day it is washed clean and yet in no time it is dirty and again begins to stink. Similarly with the clothes you wear, the apartments you live in. At last weary of a lifetime's endeavour, the truth dawns on the mind that all things created are born of dirt and must co-exist with dirt. The self, the seer alone is untouched, uncreate and hence pure. It is distinct from all other things and can have no real connection with them. One who has received this conviction, the *Muktika Upanishad* exclaims, where is the need of *shaucha* for him! Not that such a person will cease to observe cleanliness and wallow blissfully in dirt. The statement only emphasises this realisation of atman's purity as the last and paramount and most precious fruit of *shaucha*. The other fruits are nothing compared to it.

Rishi Haarita however gives us more or less a complete and attractive list of the fruits of *shaucha*:

शौच: नाम परमान्त विश्वनाथ सूप्रोभिन्न: समस्त: प्रत्ययुक्ते देवेन्द्र निः निः निः शौचः भवति—What goes by the name of *shaucha* is the first step in correct living (*dharma*) and the basis of god-realisation (*Brahman*). Because, as we stated at the start, only a pure mind can 'see' Truth, form the basis for its 'reflection'. Granting that a person is not bothered so much about this realisation, the Rishi concedes, (*सूप्रोभिन्नः*), the abode of worldly prosperity should at least be tempting enough. But, it may be asked, some of the filthiest and most corrupt of men are found to hoard much wealth. So the Rishi clarifies his concept of wealth with the adjunct (*समस्त: प्रत्ययुक्ते*): 'soothing and sweet to the mind'. A miser may fill the dark hole of his treasury just as the legendary serpents in the bowels of earth, but he is never satiate, never free of mind. Like the beggars in certain famous pilgrimage centres who gather astounding sums of money but still go on persisting in that shameful 'profession' most wretchedly, these people too, bereft of internal and external purity, never enjoy life through their wealth. Hence they can not be called prosperous. The more minute the operations the finer should be the instruments. Similarly finer enjoyments demand more and more refinement of body and mind. That is why the gods are supposed to have infinitely better enjoyments since only those of pure actions and intellects attain to the state of *devas*. The very word *deus* means 'endowed with light', which indicates transparency or purity. The same rule holds good in human enjoyments too. A pig may seem to glut on filth with great gusto but it is impossible for it to enjoy the extremely subtle and intense joys of an aesthetic experience which is given only to a developed, pure mind. This condition of refinement becomes much
more pronounced in case of religious experiences. When an aspirant endowed with purity experiences the spontaneous joy of the spirit, then it manifests obviously in a cheerful mood of the mind, a brilliant face.

The head of a certain monastery was very fond of telling his monks the funny story of a Christian priest who as the principal of the college of bishops gave the following tip to his departing students: "When you preach from the pulpit and speak of heaven, then you must smile and make all efforts to put on as bright and blooming a visage as possible; and when you describe the scenes of hell, well, your natural face will do."

It is true that most aspirants in religious life wear long faces. But that is because the continuous introspection and restraining of the senses churn the mind and throw up hidden impurities, past impressions, and secret desires. This scum on the surface obstructs the occasional joys of religious experiences expressing themselves obviously. Any one who understands this will not condemn the long-faced of the church but take it to be a sign of internal struggle, and if possible try to help the seeker remove the impurities.

For this same reason in the yoga scriptures it is told that the place of meditation should be pure, free from dirt, dust, insects, etc., and also endowed with a surrounding that is pleasing to the mind and not hurtful to the eyes (स्वांश: न तु शाश्वस्नः). External state of purity and placidity of mind are interdependent and afford mutual encouragement.

The next feature of shaucha that Haarita presents is, 'beloved of the gods' (वेशभाविप्रियम्). As already mentioned gods are gods because of their godliness and hence are attracted by holiness. A man's life is governed by innumerable factors external to himself and

many of them are superhuman. An aspirant after perfection with all his sincerity and strenuous effort may fail to reach the goal because of insurmountable obstructions created by these factors. The pleasing of gods is very necessary to avoid this danger, shaucha promises to accomplish this.

Or 'devas' here may mean the senses too, the five senses of knowledge and five of action. The senses depend on mind, the internal sense of perception, for their functioning. Where mind is pleased and at rest there the activity of senses ceases or becomes orderly and in tune with the mind's mood. That is why when you are listening to sweet music or gazing at a beautiful scene or engrossed in inspiring thought, you do not entertain any other sound or sight or distraction and if it is forced on you, you resent it. About this quietness of the senses we will speak in detail in the next chapter. Here our purpose is only to remark that shaucha contributes also to this essential condition of concentration.

"When mind and the senses are at rest", says the Katha Upanishad, "and the intellect is one-pointed, that is called the supreme state", or you may call it the stepping stone to the supreme state. That means we are in the transition from the courts of outer purity to the rich treasures of the internal; and so we pass on to Haarita's next criterion, घरीरे शंकरुपानम—seeing the vision of the 'field' in this body'. That is to say seeing the body as the abode, clay house, a dull, inert, mortal shell in which the immortal, resplendent spirit (विनम्र) dwells. To put it plainly, this means the arising of dispassion and discrimination which we have already discussed. This is quickly succeeded
by awakening or enlightenment of the mind (ध्यान: प्रबोधन) which is the last step.

Thus Haarita's seems to be a restatement and explanation of the Chandogya saying quoted earlier, where food (aakaara) should be interpreted to mean all that is taken in through the senses. Observance of purity in external and internal dealings covers the entire gamut of spiritual striving ending in perfection and liberation.

THE FIRST ATTACK—SENSE-CONTROL

The necessity of war has risen. We are about to launch our first offensive in our winning of the 'kingdom of god'. But before we get into vital action let us consider what is action, what is its general form. Action can roughly and conveniently be defined as a process of change from one state to another. 'Eating means a change from state of hunger to that of satiation. 'Going' takes you from 'at home' state to 'in office' state. When a sour mango transforms into a sweet one then 'ripening' has taken place. To change cotton from the strands to cloth we must resort to 'weaving'. Similarly with all actions. It will also be noted that each act is composed of three items, the object (कर्म), actor (कर्ता), and the means (करण). In the case of eating these respectively will be: food, eater, mouth; and in the case of going they will be: office, walker, legs; and so on in the other instances too.

Now, apply these ideas to the act of knowing—an activity that is indispensable to life, common to the blind, the lame, to every living creature. This activity is a change from a state of ignorance to that of knowledge. When you say or feel, "I know this flower" it means you are changing from a man ignorant of that flower to one endowed with a knowledge of it. And in this action the flower is the object, you are the actor and the eye is the instrument. Similarly all knowledge is experienced through the instruments of the five senses (ears, eyes, nose, taste, and touch) and the
mind. If the instrument is faulty then the whole act becomes foul. When senses are not in perfect order the whole process of knowing becomes clouded and turns life into misery. According to Sureshwarkarcharya, 'this perception of atman as a bound and worldly entity is our sole blunder and seed of sorrow—अद्वैतविभू नोत्तरीसंसायायं दर्शनम्'. To escape from this we must attain correct perception; and this naturally means rectifying the instruments of perception.

It is true that in the supreme spiritual experience of God-realisation the senses and mind have absolutely no place. But that is the final stage and to reach at it all along the way we must depend upon these instruments of knowledge and with their help proceed from grosser to subtler experiences of truth. So we will be committing a grave mistake by overlooking the senses in the struggle for God-knowledge. We have to preserve them and sharpen them constantly. Hence in the popular peace chantings (shanii mantras) of the Vedas we have the prayer recurring again and again, 'Let us be strong of limbs and senses and through them perceive the good, accomplish the right'. Nowhere is it told, 'Annihilate the senses.' It is control and culture of the senses, not destruction or deadening that is required.

This culture at the very outset involves two broad steps—one is (निविद्या भोगस्वरुपः), renunciation of enjoyments forbidden by scriptures, and the other is (भोगस्वरूपः), restriction in all enjoyments. To modern ears accustomed to the slogans of 'more and more enjoyments', 'let us be natural like cats and dogs', 'eat, drink and be merry', these ideas will naturally be startling. This is nothing strange. Charvakas of old seem to have possessed a more vigorous hedonistic and epicurean philosophy of life which they voiced in their favourite couplet:

यास्वतोहत्व मुक्तीसबिद्ध च व्याधेः पूर्ति विषयः
भन्नम्हुमुखस्य देहस्य पुनर्रत्नम् कुलः

"Till there is life, live happily. Borrow and feast. Once the body is burnt to ashes after death where is the coming back?"

Hence even in olden days Nachiketa was a strange figure who startled the world with his harsh denunciation of worldly pleasures. Yama, the lord of death, offered him fabulous wealth and heavenly enjoyments but Nachiketa firmly replied:

द्वोभाषिका महान्यन्तितक्षणेन जरायति तेन:
अपितुर्व निविद्या भोगस्वरूपेऽवै व बाहस्वत नृत्वादिति

"All these pleasures are transient and last for a day and during that short time of enjoyment they deaden and loosen the power of all the senses. Even if one lives to all ages, these pleasures will ever remain meagre. Hence you keep your dancing and music to yourself." Putting aside all such temptations Nachiketa sought to know the Truth beyond death.

Referring to this episode in the Katha Upanishad one learned brahmin pundit of Kashi used to exclaim, "Nachiketa was a fool. He could have accepted and enjoyed all these riches and pleasures and then sought to know the Truth too. After all Brahman is eternal, we can seek and know it at any time, what is the hurry now". Such a cool and calculating brain can never know the Brahman. If you employ a fine sharp-edged razor for mending pencils or dressing vegetables for a considerable time and then one day attempt to shave your
face with it or do some delicate operation of a wound, you will be considered mad. The accurate balance of the chemist is meant to register very fine difference of weights of minute quantities of substances and it is certain to lose its accuracy if used as a grocer's balance for weighing provisions etc. Similarly the group of senses as well as the mind is a delicate balance, a fine razor. It will get blunted by gross use and that was why the intelligent Nachiketa laid bare this secret in that fundamental statement: सत्यायां जरबलसंतेश तेन: Once applied to the gross objects of the world the senses become unfit for dwelling upon Truth, the atman which is spoken of as 'दुर्ग्रहति दुर्ग्रहम' (subtler than the subtle) and 'शब्दोज्जोग्य' (this atman is very subtle).

Leave alone the atman. Even subtler forms of physical enjoyments become impossible for those who revel in mediocre and cheap excitement and gross pleasures of eating, drinking and sleeping. In certain serious and long ailments one is forced to give up salt or pepper or any such spice for two or three months. When after full recovery the patient resorts to normal diet then even a pinch of salt or pepper becomes almost intolerable. After slow and constant use the tongue loses its keenness and becomes capable of swallowing quantities of these spices without the least difficulty. This is true of all senses. They lose keenness by constant use. And for the perception of the atman untouched by the least taint of avidya and its effects in the form of birth, death and other transformations, the utmost keenness in perception is called forth and this necessitates complete renunciation of the grosser use of the senses.

With this end in view the scriptures have prescribed many 'don'ts' regarding the natural indulgences of an ordinary life. Discrimination is thus made in what you eat, wear, hear, smell, see and touch and depending on the strata to which you belong different rules with degrees of freedom are suggested. The aim of dharma is to help even the most degenerate to elevate himself. Hence we find on the one hand even meat and intoxicants allowed for certain people whereas on the other hand some are charged with the price of five great sins (Mahapatha) for the use of such stuff. Among meat eaters also some are restricted to use only certain kinds of meat and on certain occasions. To one class of people the use of stitched clothes is prohibited; and then a concession is made in case of silk and wool. Failing to understand the underlying aim of all these one very often is overwhelmed by the external and accuses dharma of a ritual-ridden, gorgeous cloak of hypocrisy. This is a grave error. Because the end is so far away, we fail to see how the road leads to it. But one must always bear in mind that every observance in as much as it restricts the gross use of the senses is a form of renunciation which is a preparation to the final purity and perfection and so each one must accept these impositions of external observance according to one's capacity and order in life, and firmly keep away from the forbidden enjoyments.

After having gained certain strength from this first step one must go further to भोगसंपीळ; because it is possible that the aspirant gets enmeshed in permitted pleasures. One may scrupulously avoid eating flesh and other adverse food but glut on milk and honey. Such people have to be advised to fast on ekadashi.
and other such occasions and reduce their addiction to food. Similarly with the other senses of hearing etc. One may give up cinema-going and sensuous songs but spend all his time in dancing and devotional singing at the cost of meditations and studies. Senses are readily deluded and drawn away from their course even at a very advanced stage of sadhana and deprive the sadhaka of the wealth of utmayana. Hence constant vigil and discrimination is necessary.

There is one simple rule to be borne in mind for general guidance in this matter. Whenever a sense-object exercises its sway over you, then do not indulge directly. Postpone and meanwhile consider how much you gain and how much you lose by this indulgence. If you think you do not lose much then see if you can do away with the gain of that certain pleasure. Always prefer to renounce if possible. Suppose you find your losses are great and yet you cannot restrain, then go and indulge but with a clear mind frankly admitting, "I know it is bad". This attitude will prevent your desiring to repeat it. For one possessed with an amount of dispassion, all these deliberations are not necessary. It is advisable for him to decide right away on a "no" and cling firmly to the declaration voiced in the Gita:

"वेष रहस्येऽन्मोक्ता हुः: खोयो न एव ते ।
पायासुब्रह्म: कौन्तेय न तेषु रहस्येऽन्मोक्ते हुः: ॥

"All these enjoyments born of sense-contact are verily seeds of sorrow. They begin at a point of time and must cease to be at some time or other. Hence the wise do not revel in them"

This deep conviction must be the sheet-anchor in all the unavoidable storms of life. Senses have to be constantly used, sense-objects cognised at every step. Even in all these ordinary experiences if the mind is steeped in the idea, "All this is ephemeral and we have to bear with them as a part of the pilgrimage of life", then one achieves the perfection of indriyanigraha.

This obviously involves sichara, mental training and leads one to the next step in the assault, viz. tackling the mind. In the start itself this was mentioned along with the control of the senses. In the scriptures they are spoken of as shama (शाम्) and dama (दम्) respectively and invariably grouped together. Because they are interdependent and mutually fulfil each other. So much so, where only one is mentioned the other too is usually taken for granted. For instance in the sloka of Yajnavalkya which we are studying indriya-nigraha and dama are mentioned and this in the ordinary course would mean a repetition. But since shama and dama are interchangeable and dama has not been separately mentioned here, we attribute to dama the meaning of control of the mind.

Mind is the king of the senses and the sole instrument of internal perception. Hence it is called antahkarana. It plays such a vital part in a person’s life that one can easily say that the entire scriptures with all their rituals, dogmas and theories are only devoted to the study and restraint of the mind. Patanjali defines yoga as विच्छेदन्त मनोविरोधः—restraint of mental functions. "Mind alone is the cause of bondage as well as that of liberation", says the Upanishads. Even the senses do not bind us if the mind is not a partner to them in worldliness. If the mind does not spin its strong threads of attachment to the objects then sense-experience may come and go and yet create no ripples in our
inward life. But mind can never be quiet and cease from this spinning. From beginningless time it has been flowing out through the senses towards the external world, registering, conjuring and building up a world of its own, weaving an intricate pattern of passions. It is impossible now to snatch the spindle and still the mind. Only the mind has to be taught to weave a new pattern or go back on its work and unweave the pattern of human bondage. The mind which has so far flown outward must be slowly trained to turn within and then, like a lens whose convexity has been changed to concavity, the same mind will present us with a different picture; instead of the universe it will reveal the atman. This is the process and purport of shama (施阿) .

Acharya Shri Shankara defines:

विरवय विद्वयताः इश्वरवत् गुरुदुवि ।
स्वाध्यो भिन्नताब्रह्मसमस्याः शाम उवचये ॥

“Averting the mind from the swarm of sense objects by seeing the faults therein, repeatedly trying to establish mind steadily in the target of Self—this is called shama, the control of mind”. Here we have both the positive and negative aspects of shama with a valuable hint as to the means of the withdrawal and the method of settling within. To maintain दृष्टिष्कइ; detection of the defects with respect to all effects of transmigration through constant discrimination is the soul of mind-control. We have already referred to a major defect in things, viz. their transience. Shri Krishna tells in the Gita that it is a form of wisdom to perceive how life is filled with the inevitable drawbacks and sufferings of birth, death, old-age, illness and other afflictions (जनममृत्युजना-)

The common man has all his eyes greedily fixed on the seeming sunny side of life, its boyhood pleasures, fleeting youth and manhood’s meagre achievements and glories. In some uncanny way the other side is completely hidden from him, its triviality and restrictions, the consuming fire of frustrated emotions, relentless goad of ambitions that are never realised, the endless cares of governing, maintaining, serving and keeping up to social standards etc. In short he fails to see, as the Upanishads put it, that all these are but ‘forms of death’ (मृत्युक्षाणि). Indeed he does not even want to admit this when it is pointed out to him.

King Yudhishthira was once asked what he considered to be the greatest wonder in the world. Fortwith came the profound reply :

शय्य्योप नूतनि गच्छन्ति हिमालयम् ॥
शय्यत: त्यतपिज्ज्ञति किमानवंशमानं: वरम् ॥

“Day and night people are hastening into the temple of Death and yet those who are watching them still wishfully hope to live for ever” (Mahabharata, Vana. 313, 116). This is the inscrutable power called Maya. It overwhelms even great intellectual giants who can scan the stars or reach to the bowels of earth, but failing to gaze at the mind and control its passionate course every one of their cognitions becomes contorted and one sided. They become incapable of vichar and bereft of the sturdiness to accept the tragic truth of the phenomenal world. They are fond of the soap-bubbles and are afraid they would burst at the least touch of vichara. Hence it becomes necessary to prescribe the practice of vichara—constant discrimination. The call to the
aspurant of stable knowledge and bliss to see the transience and tribulations of the world of senses, is only in order to induce him to go beyond the senses to find the world of atman, the real source of bliss. Vairagya, dispassion born of discrimination, leads to and sustains abhyasa, practice of the stillled mind settling on to meditation on the atman. That is why Patanjali states: "प्रवहतरं सर्वसाधनोऽत्मानं भिन्निन्यं: —its (mind's) restraint is accomplished through abhyasa (practice) and vairagya (dispassion)"). Vasishtha too in his teaching to Sri Rama prescribes these two in slightly different terms: "हृदयमेव ध्यतात्मणं अत्मं योगं च द्रष्यं।—These, O Raghava, are the two steps of annihilation of the mind, jnana and yoga." Here jnana is the discrimination between and conviction of the real nature of the creator and the created; and this is the restraining dam, whereas yoga is the channel which carries the restrained waters to the proper fields. The steps of yoga like pranayama (प्राणयम), pratyahara (प्रत्याहार), dharana (धारण), dhyana (ध्यान), etc. are like so many outlets or shutters in the dam. One must know well how to operate these shutters lest the dam should burst with the pressure of accumulated waters behind it.

Pranayama is the control of breath based on the psychophysical connection between prana and mind. We have already referred to this once while describing the priests of jivana-yajna. It is in the ordinary experience of every man that when mind is agitated with anger one feels suffocated, breathing becomes fast and short. When mind is completely at rest as in deep sleep, the breathing becomes soft and harmonious. Conversely, we may conclude, when breath is troubled or made uneven the mind must become ruffled and when controlled then mind too must become quiet. This can be verified alcause. That is to say, breath is an index of mind; like the bubble of air in the spirit-level it indicates the state or gradient of your mind. So to obtain a perfect level all that you have to do is to adjust the bubble to be even and in the middle of the graduated scale. This is what is done in pranayama. The inward and outward breaths are regulated and restricted in a particular measure until the natural breathing becomes harmonious and the mind is automatically softened and ready for concentration. Pranayama is a science by itself and has to be carefully studied and practised under personal guidance of a competent teacher. It is well to bear in mind that one should not try to use pranayama as a whip to punish and force the unruly mind to subjugation and stillness. The effects of such a step on the psychophysical frame may be disastrous; just as if you jam the front brakes of a fast speeding bicycle suddenly without applying the back-brakes you are liable to be overturned along with the cycle. Mind is the back-wheel which is connected to the pedal whereas prana is the front wheel. A certain amount of slowing down is necessary in both of them before brakes can be applied forcibly on them. Just because mind is in a very ruffled state one should not right away sit for a severe and sustained pranayama. It has first to be calmed down by practice of japa, loud recitation of scriptures or singing the Lord's glories. Hearing to holy discourses, doing ritualistic worship and all such acts mentioned as the first steps to Bhakti-yoga are means to engage the mind in God. When mind's attention is once drawn inward then practice of dharana (concentration), dhyana (meditation) etc. be-
comes easy. These are various stages of fixing the mind in the single idea or object chosen for practice and like pranayama they charge one's personality with tremendous powers. This again is a profound scientific study which needs to be separately dealt with. Since jivan-yajna is for all, including the common man, we do not go into the technical details of dhyana yoga and of many other yogas like the mantra, katha, japa and kundalini yogas, which are specially and effectively used according to the aspirant's capacity and need for restraining the mind and raising it into higher states of spiritual consciousness.

It should however be stated that each person must set apart certain hours every day at dawn and dusk or any other convenient time to sit quietly by himself and strive as directed by the spiritual master, to withdraw the mind from all worldly matters and gradually fix it in God. There is no greater purifier than these waters of meditation. Purified and plunged into these tranquil depths one contacts the spring of divine love, divine illumination and bliss. Jagaddhara, the great poet and devotee of Shiva exults: “Seated somewhere on the banks of the holy Ganges under a wild tree, seeking shelter only in the thought of the Lord of Uma, exulting inwardly in the self alone, those who taste the nectarine joy of the state of the subdued mind (shama-sukha), they alone are the blessed.” This echoes the biblical question “What profits a man if he gaineth the whole world and loseth his soul?” Real profit accrues when you lose the world, at least for the time being, forget all its travails and turmoils, and gain your own real self, that is to say, be aware of the only reality embodied in you. It is of this gain, this yoga that the Gita says:

"... the only pursuit of the wise and learned. Only so long as one has not tasted this bliss of world-forgetting and self-gaining do the other sensual and intellectual enjoyments tempt a man. Afterwards they become insipid and mind by itself turns away from them and hungers for the shama-sukha, the spiritual manna. Thus the momentum of retreat from the gross world increases in correspondence with that of entry into the inner world of peace. When thus the mind is perfectly cleansed and coloured with the divine tinge, then it becomes in the real sense the abode of the Lord—one begins to have a permanent experience of inward poise and unearthly peace."

Based on this analogy Acharya Shri Shankara works up a beautiful picture in his Shivanandalakari:

"... and in this state of mind, the mind is a tent (उड़कोटी) and it is meant for you. That is why I have made it splendidly clean (स्वस्त), Do not hesitate to enter it, thinking it is an impermanent abode. I have made steadfast—"

*Actually the joy of sense-objects experienced through the mind and senses is not real joy but a faint reflection of the self which is of the form of pure and absolute bliss. The sufi mystic Rumi, puts it beautifully:

Kings lack the earth whereof the fair are made,
For god hath mingled in the dusty earth
A draught of Beauty from His choicest cup.
'Tis that, fond lover—not these lips of clay—
Thou art kissing with a hundred ecstasy.
Think, then, what must it be when undefiled—

—(mathnawi V, 372)
ness itself the central post (पूर्वस्थलमभाग्राम). My poles are deep and strong. Amidst all difficulties and through endless ages this mind will persist in the path to perfection. And I have held the ends of the tent tightly by the ropes of firm sterling qualities (शुचि, meaning both rope and quality) like ahimsa, satya etc. The storm of sense-attractions cannot blow it away. And though this is so well and firmly built yet it is a mobile residence. The tent goes with me always, for I am a pilgrim in life; and every day I pitch it on a fresh spot by the road of righteousness (शरिद्वस समावधिधिनाथ). It is decorated with gay colours and painted lotuses in full bloom (विश्वाम पदार्जिन)⁴.

Into this house of holiness, O Lord, come along with your Shakti (प्रवणिवा, the divine knowledge) and other retinue (शिवणन— the glorious manifestations that herald the divine presence) and be worshipped for ever'.

In this we have a complete picture of the control of senses and mind, its accessories and advantages and the exalted purpose which it fulfils.

---

⁴This refers to the opening of the six yogic lotuses or spiritual centres within the subtle body. Or the word 'पदार्जिन' may mean 'of the shape of lotus', as the heart is stated to be.
off eating and sleeping and was obsessed with some terrible thought. To all eager inquiries at home he replied evasively, "No, there is nothing wrong with me. Yes, the Lord gave something like a boon." Seeing his condition deteriorate day by day, his wife insisted on knowing the truth of what exactly had befallen him in the jungle. The man at last yielded and told her the secret and the innocent wife blurted out, "Then why don't you ask for wealth and be rid of this gnawing poverty? If you can not decide what to ask then you should have consulted me. Ask right now and test the Lord's boon." "You are a blockheaded silly woman" retorted the man, "How do you expect me to ask for wealth or anything when I know that my neighbour is going to get double my gain. If I have a thousand and others have two thousand then what is the use of my having the thousand. I will still be considered poor. If I have a four-storied building all the same it will appear a miserable tiny hole by the side of a towering eight-storied structure. How do you expect me to live in such misery at the cost of another's increased prosperity? Actually the boon makes me a slave to others, an unpaid, awful slave. I wish I could forget that I ever got the boon." The woman was speechless at the jealousy of the man and not daring to inflame the peculiar state of his mind she silently cursed her fate and her husband's which would not allow them to enjoy despite of acquiring.

One fine morning the Brahmin came out of his dark musings crying with a fiendish glee, "I have found it—I have found out the way!" "What has happened now?" asked the wife. "I know now how to use the terrible boon." "That is very good. Better late than never. Desire and

quickly ask something." Slowly, controlling his shaking emotions, he uttered, "Oh, Lord of Light, let that worthless second son of mine fall sick and die." The woman was aghast and then realising his intention: she railed at him, "You fiend, you want to harm your neighbour by murdering my child?" But with all her wailing the boon came into force and by the evening three biers were ready in the street, one in front of the Brahmin's house and two next door and the loud lamentation; in the latter drowned the lonely voice of the Brahmin woman. The husband having tasted the blood of jealousy's victim, became intoxicated and unable to control himself. He began to plan out new venues of venting his power on his neighbour. He chose to lose one of his eyes and made his neighbour completely blind. He caused the earth to split into an abysmal pit half way across his door so that the entire entrance to his neighbour might become dangerous. He prayed for a part of his house to collapse and ruined the whole of the next building. So it went on till, as the story goes, the one-eyed Brahmin stepped out of his house absent-mindedly one evening and fell into the pit created by himself and died.

The fact to be observed here is the existence of such abnormal specimens in human society. We can not really class them with the human nor for that matter with any group. Bharatirahi lists three categories of human beings: i. the divine (सत्यशा:), who do good to others by sacrificing their own interests, ii. the ordinary (सामान्यशा:), who will readily serve other's interest if their own are not impaired thereby, iii. the fiendish (राजशा:) who do not hesitate to cut another's throat for their own personal profit. "And then" adds Bharatirahi, "there is a fourth category. It is formed of those who purposelessly seek to hurt and
harm others even at the cost of great personal loss. I have searched all the dictionaries and literature and found no name for this group". Bharatirhari must have had in his mind some such personality as the hero of the above story.

On the other hand we have such examples as the famous South-Indian ruler Paari, who was driving out one day and observed a way-side creeper trembling and tossed about helplessly in the wind as if it was seeking for some support. Overcome with compassion Paari ordered his chariot to be stationed near the creeper and settling the creeper carefully on it he himself walked all the way to the palace. This, in the opposite extreme, again passes the understanding of common man who may argue: "Is this not a lack of common-sense and understanding of value of things? The king instead of using a golden chariot as a tree stump, could have asked his charioteer to break some nearby branch or sent him fast on the chariot to fetch a suitable support for the creeper". To calculate in this worldly way is to make oneself unfit for the study and understanding of the finer qualities and pure emotions that sway a noble heart. Qualities like charity (dān), compassion (bhava), and forgiveness (śraddha) are the flowers of the highly developed stages of man in his slow evolution from the fourth degraded state which Bharatirhari calls "anamam" to the first mentioned "divine" state. That is why we find these qualities prescribed in the end of the list of sadharana dharma. As such they need to be approached with an amount of delicate imagination and reverent understanding. Then one will also be able to differentiate between charity, compassion and forgiveness which are so intimately grouped together that very often they are confused with each other.

or with other qualities like non-violence (ahimsa).

Charity here means something quite different from the Christian concept of it. It is daana which technically implies giving away certain prescribed things to suitable persons with due regard to time and place. Then that daana or act of giving produces punya, a spiritual merit which yields joy in some form or other and also purifies the heart. Particularly it frees one from the mire of greed and possessiveness. In this light it is significant to note that daana is prescribed as the chief sadhana in Kaliyuga.

In the Krita age knowledge (jnana through discrimination) is said to be the supreme means (to perfection), in the Treta it is tapas (austerity) and in Dwapara the yajna (sacrificial worship); while in Kali age daana alone is the chief means".

We will miss the true significance of the above statement if we confine the meaning of yuga (age) to mean that phase of creation in so many lakhs of years as mentioned in the scriptures. That is the cosmic (samashiti) view. In man's individual life too there are yugas. Rig Veda itself gives the clue: "Sleeping—it is Kali yuga, sitting—it is Dwapara, standing—it is Treta, and walking—it is Krita Yuga". That is to say when one is immersed in the darkness (tamas) of ignorance and is 'asleep' to truth, then verily he lives in the age of Kali. When he wakes up and 'sits' and sees, feels there is an entity called 'atman' beyond the body and senses, and 'Iswara' the cause of all creation and realises there are ways of transcending sorrows and bondages, then he is said to be in Dwapara age. After this when man
becomes a real aspirant after liberation (मोक्ष) and, with firm conviction in the goal and the way, decides to walk it, then he gets up and ‘stands’. That is the age of Treta. When he actually ‘walks’ the path to perfection the golden age of Krita descends, the supreme goal is at hand, for, one who has started and persists will surely reach the end.

From this viewpoint, whenever man is overwhelmed with tamas, characterised by procrastination, possessiveness, anger, violence and such like, then daana is to be freely resorted to. Apart from this criterion of the internal state of man, his ahimsa or position in external society too decides the selection of the sadhana. The Yama Samhita says: “For the renouncing sannyasin complete control of mind and senses is the dharma, for the recluse who retire into the jungle (vannaprasthi) fasting is the dharma and for the brahmacharin students living with the teacher, service to him and devotion to studies. The best dharma for the householder (grhastha) is daana alone.” And this is quite reasonable. For daana is possible only if you possess things to be given away to others. The householder alone can do it, not easily the other three ashramites.

Daana is of two kinds according to ishta (इष्ट) and purta (पूर्त) classification of all Vedic scriptural acts. The daana which forms a part of a Vedic sacrifice is called ishta and all other charities outside the scope of a yajna are purta. Building temples and rest-houses, providing wells and tanks for public use, making roads in remote places of pilgrimage, free distribution of food, water and clothing to the poor and wayfarers, all such items belong to the purta category. According to the scriptures only the ‘twice-born’ (द्वित्तीय) —i.e., Brahmin, Kshatriya and Vaishya—are entitled to the ishta daana, whereas purta can be given by one and all and to whomever in need and capable of making best use of it. All creatures including animals, birds and worms and plants have a right to it. Hence in the universal fold of jiva-yajna, purta daana alone is included. So the relevant question is how to do daana, not who can do it.

Srimad Bhagavad Gita differentiates daana according to the three qualities of sattva, rajas, and tamas. The sattvic daana helps as an effective means to liberation, moksha, by purifying the mind, whereas the rajasic daana only bears earthly or heavenly fruits that are enjoyed for the time being only and the tamasic daana may even do harm and strengthen the degrading tendencies of the mind instead of eliminating them. Hence the sattvic daana alone is recommended.

What is a sattvic daana is told in the sloka:

दत्तव्यमिति दत्ते दानं दीयते नृपकारिणः
० देवो न तां च पापे च तहनि सारिसं स्मृतम्

First of all the motive behind the act of charity should be nothing but an unselfish, detached sense of duty: “I ought to give this and so I give, not just to please myself or to show off”. The least tendency to entertain future expectations is removed by the condition ‘पुनःपुनःकारिणः’ which means that the charity should be given to one who has practically no possibility of doing any return to you. Perhaps he is a stranger on the way, some one whom you have met for few minutes and will part with forever. Or he is a beggar who can do absolutely nothing for you even if he wants. This condition is very necessary if charity has to preserve its high worth.
Once a devotee known to us was visiting a friend of his, who was a popular tradesman. It was the time of elections in the city and the representatives of one of the contesting parties came to interview the tradesman and collect donations for the election campaign. The friend sent them away with a cheque for a thousand rupees. After half an hour another contesting party arrived and made a handsome speech enumerating the advantages it will offer to tradesmen if it won the elections. In the end the party left pocketing a similar cheque. This happened second again and the devotee could not help asking his friend, "You seem to be too lavish in your charities. But what is the idea in giving to all the parties? Only one of them will come out successful!" "Which one?" retorted the friend merrily, "who knows who wins, so I give something to all. Whoever wins is bound to favour me." This sort of donation is a part of the trade, it is an investment and not daana. Similarly many people build huge hospitals etc. and insist on their being named after them or on special privileges afforded to them in the running and use of the institutions. All these are good as social contributions but one should not expect of them the high merits of sattvic daana.

So far as this factor pertaining to the attitude of the giver is concerned, it lies within the power of each to strive to make oneself fit for sattvic daana. But as regards the other three factors mentioned in the Gita sloka one becomes dependent on circumstances. Only a knowledge of them enables one to recognise and utilise them whenever opportunity offers them. The merits of a daana vary according to the place, time and receiver (वाण). It is told in Daana-amsukha.
him accept gifts of offering. In the absence of any meritorious receiver even a crawling worm becomes a source of grace in as much as we can bestow something on it and be blessed. It is told that saint Namadeva walked all the way from the Himalayas carrying the precious waters of Gangotri (the source of Ganga) to be used in the worship of Lord Rameshwara at the southern most corner of the country. While passing through a semi-desert one hot summer day, he found a donkey dying of thirst and unhesitatingly Namadeva emptied the sacred pot into the poor creature’s gasping mouth saying, “O Lord, you have yourself come to meet me half the way.” Then and there he is said to have obtained the vision of Lord Rameshwara. It may however be noted that this argues finely for the development of a very high spiritual attitude in the giver, not that one should not discriminate between the ordinary creatures and the more meritorious.

In the definition of sattvic daana given in the Gita it was mentioned शीतले वद्युन्म्, ‘that which is given’. There seems to be a stress on the pronoun indicating a speciality of the object of charity. We have to be careful also of what thing is to be given. If you freely distribute intoxicants and other prohibited stuff to habituated drunkards hungering for them you are certainly pleasing a number of persons in dire need but you are not going to incur any merit thereby, not even if the best of Brahmanis comes forward to receive it. We must, on the basis of the scriptures, select the object of charity according to its availability, degree of merit, our capacity to give etc.

The most superior daana is abhaya daana, the bestowing of fearlessness. Life is characterised by fear from beginning to no end at all. In every state of life fear pervades in manifold forms. The best of your unadulterated pleasures, of your feeling of unrestricted freedom and unrivalled possession, the best moments of your life are punctured with dark fear, the fear of losing them, fear of dangers unheard, of thieves, rulers, fire, disease and death. This last one is the fundamental and most formidable. None can dare to pretend at protecting you from that, not the police, nor your safety-vaults and insurance policies, nor your stalwart servants and dear relatives, nor even the propitiated gods. For all of them are themselves victims of the fear of death. Only he who has gone beyond the clutches of death can save another from it. The Upanishads declare emphatically: “य एव विद्वानामः इत्यमति नामः पति—He who knows (the Brahman) thus, becomes deathless even here, there is no other way”. So in order to be able to do the abhaya daana one must first attain fearlessness through the knowledge of Brahman which is itself the goal of Jivana-ajna. This sounds like putting the cart before the horse, insisting on the obtaining of the goal as a condition for the fulfilment of the means. But it will be easily noticed that this supreme daana of absolute fearlessness is the last rung, the last step which is the roof itself. A realised soul bestows his grace on people out of his most compassionate nature and without striving, since for him all striving has come to an end; yet this is put as the ideal so that all other forms of removing the fear of creatures may be approaches to it.

Next to fearlessness, the knowledge of the Vedas is considered the best object of daana. The Vedas is the abode of dharma, the store-house of all the four desirable ends of life. Hence to initiate a man into the mysteries
of the Vedic knowledge is to hand over the keys to the treasury of heaven and earth. In sanatana dharma an entire race or class of people have been set apart for this potent and prodigious task of imparting the Vedas. The unbroken line of highly specialised Brahmans scholars and reciters of Vedas (Vedapadhis) have from the time of creation to the present day laboured hard, sacrificing all earthly profits and preserved the Vedas. Their number has naturally thinned down very much and due to tremendous changes in society very few are ready to stick to the ordeal. But even now such authorities exist who can check if a single syllable of the Vedas is intoned or interpreted wrongly. To them the world owes a great deal, heaven itself is indebted to and eager to receive such who bestow the Vedic knowledge in the correct way to the proper students and thus maintain the lineage.

But this offering of Vedavidya is again a difficult and uncommon thing. The third best daana is the more universal annadaana, food-offering, and this is most suited for the times. Food is commonly possessed by most and needed by all. The giving of it is easy and there is no dearth of candidates to receive it. All the same the fruits of a food-offering are not meagre. By giving proper sattvic food to a righteous person one earns incalculable merits. Because, food being the capital investment for all endeavour, the man who feeds gets a share in every good act of the one fed. It is told: “By food man gets energy (शक्ति) and grows strong (स्तर) enough to do austerities (सन्तान). Through constant study, which is the mental austerity, one gets spiritual faith (मूर्ति) and develops the retentive power (मृष्टि) and is led to discrimination (मूलोप).”

As an effect of this the purified mind (सत्तम) attains tranquility (साधन्य) in which state one dwells on holy recollections (विद्यास). Then the idea or concept of Truth (सत्तच्छ, सत्तचार) shines clearly and gets established into steady thought (सत्तय) which ultimately ripens into an intense personal experience (विज्ञान). Thus one attains to the vision of self (स्वात्मक भवन्ति). Therefore he who gives food gives all these (ये प्रभृति दृष्टि तथा विज्ञान दृष्टिः)’’

Apart from food, one can give clothing, foot-wear, medicines, fire-wood and other such necessities of life in sattvic daana. Only one must know certainly that the life so sustained is a righteous one. Where such certainty can not be had and you feel impelled to give, then the field shifts from daana to dasya (हर). In dasya there is no consideration of the receiver’s qualities and fitness. Only you are aware of his need, his misery and, desiring his well-being, you give whatever you can as the situation demands.

Compassion should not be mistaken for a gushing emotion that is the nature of certain people. Dasya is ordained and hence it is a cultured compassion, a developed state of mind. The seed of this culture is the truth of the all-pervasiveness of the Self, which alone shines in all beings. You can not ask, “Why should I show compassion to others? How is it my duty to try to help a stranger?” Such asking will spell your spiritual doom; even as, if the hand decides, “I need not stretch to remove the thorn from the foot. What do I gain by it?” then your physical well-being is doomed. Hand instinctively goes to the affected part, eyelids close and bar the entry of any extraneous matter. Similarly the mind feels or must be trained to feel for a fellow-be-
ing. Constantly the mind should be charged with the idea of the Self (भावणति), “So-and-so is not just this body, of such colour and proportions, not just a mental mechanism of such capacities and complexes. All these are outer casings, which wither and die when the indwelling power of the ever-conscious Self is withdrawn. So-and-so is therefore in truth the radiant self garbed in this particular body and mind.” Through such mental apprehension one begins to have atma-drishthi and perceive the Self everywhere. This is a necessary preparation to the development of daya. To manifest daya one must be a first rate yogi, whom Sri Krishna describes as, “atmaupamyena sarvatra samam payati—he who sees equally through the sameness of the atman residing everywhere”. Using identical terms the Bhaagavata too states, “Atmaupamyena sarvatra dayaka kuranti saadhanaah—the saintly show compassion to all because they see the same atman everywhere”. Here the secret of daya is laid bare.

You are walking in the street and suddenly from the upper storey of a building a mud-cup crashes on your head. Burning with indignation you look up to behold a distressed womanspanking her child in her arms shouting, “You imp, why did you drop it?” Seeing the writhing, weeping child all your anger cools down and instead of the hot words that were about to spill out of your mouth you hear yourself pleading, “Ah, leave it, let the child go. It was nothing after all”. Compassion puts out the most dangerous conflagrations of wrath.

Having sowed daya’s seed, it should be manured, watered and carefully tended through constant self-denial and loving service to others in the countless instances of everyday life. It need not wait for special critical moments or uncommon catastrophes. One should learn to give oneself freely to others in any case of need, however trifling it be, taking care at the same time to avoid being fussy and an uncalled-for intrusion. Compassion should open out slowly, naturally, delicately, like a blossom whose fragrance irresistibly enters into the very being of every one present. Open out the heart and wait silently and whoever comes in contact with you will derive solace and strength from that. This opening out involves untying the gordian knot of self-pride, of that deep-seated conviction of one’s own superiority over others. This is what prevents one’s giving oneself to fellow-beings; and even if it allows such a giving yet it is certain to make it artificial and hence ineffective. One must go down or reach up to the state of the sufferer, feel his difficulty and talk his language.

A Jewish Rabbi says, “To live in the life of others, this alone is righteousness, to live with understanding of others is justice, to live in others as in oneself is love.” Compassion is a righteous and just way of loving. It is no giving, but a sharing. And the more infinite you make yourself the greater are your capacities for this. By holding oneself as distinct and higher than the majority of the rest, one creates boundaries and restrictions. Compassion then becomes a confined interest. In the lives of great saints we find the interesting fact that in spite of their really being distinct personalities held aloft and above the sea of humanity, yet they were filled with humility and attributed no speciality to themselves. That is why they could touch and penetrate every one of the thousands who came to them. Even where no talking, no introduction, no external intercourse has
taken place, merely sitting in their presence the strangers are aware of the saints' compassion. This proves that compassion in its end and essence does not depend on actions. It manifests through actionlessness or even actions which superficially seem to be harsh and contrary to a loving nature. One who is so long trained in the acts of kindliness that he has developed an instinct for daya, does good alone even if he acts harshly. This is a strength and a freedom which distinguishes compassion from mere sentimentality. And this is beautifully symbolised in the image of Goddess Durga in her martial dress, mounted on the roaring lion and thrusting the deadly spear into the aura's chest, while at the same time a benign smile is playing on her lips and her eyes are full of tenderness.

The flood of compassion can be so mighty and irresistible as to sweep away that very compassionate heart and drown it in the vortex of self-sacrifice. One refuses to consider not only the fitness of the object for compassion but also one's own fitness to help that object. If a man hears the plaintive calls of a drowning man, he immediately jumps into the waters without giving a single thought, "Should I remove my new coat and shoes too? Will I be able to make the distance? Let me first see how strong the current is." A man who starts cogitating like this will never save the drowning person. Rather he will not take the plunge at all. Compassion cannot reach its full growth in such a matter-of-fact self-conscious nature. If any one says, "I feel very much but what to do, I am helpless" and then keeps quiet, then it is to be taken as courteous manners if not hypocrisy. It has nothing to do with compassion.

Compassion is a strong, positive, divine force acting through the human mind. It is impossible that even the faintest trace of it should appear somewhere and no good result out of it. When it takes full possession of the human heart, it ceases to be human. In that state one gives like God, who needs nothing or rather whose needs none can ever fulfill. King Rantideva gave away all his kingdom and personal possessions, ornaments, silk robes and even his daily meal and was ample with divine passion to do whatever he could for his fellow-beings. And even in that state when the gods came to give boons to him he found he had nothing to beg from them, except that the world's miseries be allotted to himself and all beings be relieved.

Daya is the full-blown lotus of humanity. Indeed it is considered to be the very form of God; for He is dayaasindhu, the ocean of compassion.

Closely linked with daya and, so to say, simultaneously occurring with it is kshama (क्षमा) forgiveness. It is different from daya in the sense that daya is shown to one and all, even though they be unconnected with you; whereas forgiveness is practised towards only those who have wronged you or bear ill-will towards you. And yet forgiveness is daya's twin-sister. Because they are both born of arma-drishiti, the perception of the self in all. As long as there is difference between you and others it is bound to appear at one time or other in the form of offender-offended. If there is no difference at all, then this possibility will not be there. Who will offend whom? You can not wrong yourself; and since all beings are various forms of your own self they can not offend you nor can you offend them. This non-acceptance of offence is what forgiveness means. It is not just a
let-it-go attitude. This is but a tacit way of admitting that an offence has been done and so it silently punishes the offender. Nor is forgiveness a restraint or denial of anger. That will be akrodha which Sri Krishna mentions in the Gita as separate from kshama. Akrodha presupposes the rising of anger while in kshama there is no anger at all, one does not even recognise the harm done by the other. Here one uses akrodha as an initial step and passes over it to a higher state of spiritual concord where error is meaningless and to forgive is natural.

Thus one who strives to be established in forgiveness develops along with the awareness of the essential oneness of things the giving up of the habit of dwelling upon the faults of others; since this alone is the bitter seed of ill-will. Whenever there is a temptation to judge any one as a culprit, that tendency is to be weeded out as an evil at the very sprout, by reasoning in the following way: "In holding him as responsible for the offence I may be right or wrong. Even if I am correct this view is not going to help him or me. To the contrary it will come as a coloured screen between me and the other good qualities which he possesses. The idea of evil or wrong is bound to raise hatred which is a veritable fire, it will not allow me a peaceful carriage of myself with respect to him and one time or other it will explode. Retaliation instead of healing the wound aggravates it, it will harden him and excite him to definite acts of offense against me and all others for that matter. Or if he suppresses it then these passions will cause a mental cancer. To the contrary if I keep cool and dismiss the whole thing not only am I safe but most probably my friend may also change his feelings towards me and be benefitted".

Thus in the practice of kshama, there is no place for finding fault, no hitting back, no report to police, not even the pious belief that God will punish him. The account is closed immediately without noting down in some corner of the mind for future reference.

There is one more step further to be taken. When you come to think of it, if your brother errs, you too are guilty of it and so you must also hang your head in shame for not having guided him, helped him towards better things. You must therefore go and seek his pardon and fulfill your duty. The real significance of forgiveness lies in this strongly positive attitude of doing good to those that 'seem' to have harmed you—not just showing the left cheek if the right is slapped; that would only encourage the other man in his old ways, not improve him. Dostoevsky raises the question that even the loving form of forgiveness as contrasted with due and timely punishment will also encourage the erring instead of correcting and improving him. But this possibility, says the Russian writer, is not valid. There is no better course of action, no other remedy but to go and give the erring the kiss of forgiveness as many times as he errs. "If after your kiss, he goes away untouched, mocking at you, do not let that be a stumbling-block to you. It shows his time has not yet come, but will come in due course. And if it comes not, no matter; if not he, then another in his place will understand and suffer, and judge and condemn himself, and the truth will be fulfilled. Believe that, believe it without doubt; for in that lies all the hope and faith of the saints."

Just as compassion consoles and strengthens so does forgiveness correct and elevate the recipient. Its force is infallible. Kshama always co-exists with power and
strength. This again is illustrated by Goddess Durga. She is extolled as “The one who dwells in all beings as kshama—श्वेता देवी सर्वमुखे शमाकर्षेण संवृतता” and she is also the terrible and mighty. Her nature is, “forgiveness at heart and ruthlessness in the battlefield—विनिमया संवृतिपुरस्ता.” One who has the ability to punish, he alone can be expected to manifest forgiveness; just as he who has wealth can do charity and he with senses keen and healthy can practice restraint of them. Otherwise forgiveness becomes a camouflage for cowardice.

It may sound strange, but sometimes forgiveness itself may come clothed in seeming aggression. Two monks went for begging their food and at one place the man of the house irritated with such ‘fattened parasites’ treated one of them to a good thrashing. The monk silently turned to go when his brother ‘stopped him. “Don’t you see what harm he has done?” “No, he has done no harm to me.” “Not to you, but certainly to himself. He will suffer terribly for this sin and you will go away without doing anything to save him from this?” “Brother, what do you want me to do to him?” “Go and give him some scoldings and finish it there; then he will not have to account for it in hell.” And the monk, welling up with sincere compassion for the poor wretch, went up to the door accordingly, scolded him strongly and ran away before the sin could be repeated.

Here dasya and kshama mingle to manifest in a peculiar form. For, whatever be the form, essentially forgiveness is nothing but a deep desire to do good, save a fellow-being from evil.

Now, just as giving of forgiveness the asking for it is also an aspect of kshama. One needs learning to seek forgiveness from others. Man can never be so perfect as not to suffer a single wrong to be caused through him. If not in words and deeds, we are constantly judging and condemning our brothers in our thought, consciously or unconsciously we are hurting the innocent creatures. And for all this we need to go down on our knees and with humility pray for forgiveness. Supposing that it is possible for one to conduct himself in an ideal way without in the least harming the creature-world yet it will remain impossible with respect to the Creator. At every step we wrong Him, cheat Him. At every instance of life we use the things which are His, this vast world with its waters, corn and wealth, the pure air and limitless space; and we have nothing with which to repay Him in any way. Not only this, most of us do grievously wrong Him by using His gifts against Himself in the practice of adharma. The dictates of dharma are the dictates of God and by violating them we insult and hurt God, so to say. And yet He continues to bestow His bounties on all and is ready to forgive all our faults. It is but reasonable that we go to Him in all our meekness and ask forgiveness. Forgiveness being His nature, God does not need to be prayed to and placated. Yet divine grace too needs channels to flow through. Like the sunshine God’s forgiveness falls on all; only by turning towards Him and opening the portals of our hearts wide are we able to receive it and be enriched thereby. Those who are not meek enough to open their hearts in prayer for forgiveness are withheld from this spiritual benefit. Hence has it been told that the meek shall rule in heaven. This is not a promise to compensate for their being op-
pressed and pushed out in this world. Even here it is
the meek that win in the end. If we see any one de-
veloping a weak and diffident personality by always
turning towards a higher power to save him from the
undesirable fruits of his drawbacks it can be found on
close observation that this is a distorted example of the
ideals we have dealt with. Humility and forgiveness
are potent instruments; only the well-trained can handle
them properly. Like the ancient ayurvedic medicines
they demand a certain amount of robustness of consti-
tution and the capacity to wait through the long period
taken to effect a complete cure. Nowadays we are
accustomed to immediate results, we have forgotten to
learn to wait. Hence we have become unfit to wield
subtle spiritual weapons.

Sage Vasishta through his power of forgiveness con-
verted Viswamitra from becoming a base murderer to a
brahma-rishi. Viswamitra stirred with jealousy and
enraged at Vasishta not accepting him as a brahma-
rishi, tried to harm the sage in many ways. He caused
the death of Vasishta’s hundred sons; and yet Vasishta
was not intimidated. In utter despair Viswamitra decided
to kill him also and himself came to the cottage of the
sage one night sword in hand. Lurking in the shadows
he heard the wife Arundhati remarking, “How peerless
and beautiful the moon-light is!” and Vasishta replied,
“Not so fair as the sincerity and tapasya of Viswamitra,
my dear.” That finished the haughty Viswamitra; he
would have killed himself with that sword, but his old
self was already gone and without any pride or hesita-
tion he rushed out of the shadows and asked Vasishta’s
pardon and blessings. Later he devoted himself to
greater tapasya and attained to the state of Brahma-rishi.

THE LAST OFFERING

We have seen in detail the technique of making
oblations in the jivana-yajna, the sacrifice of life.
This forms the main body of the yajna and the
purnahuti or ‘full-oblation’ is the heart of it. This
comes in the end and is very important since this
alone is responsible for creating that unseen divine merit
(apartha) by which the fruit of a yajna is got here or here-
after. All the preceding oblations are only supplementary
parts (anga) of the purnahuti and go to fulfil it. This
idea in the jivana-yajna endows life with a very serious
and deep significance. For the final oblation as we have
seen earlier, is the offering of the fundamental
ignorance through realising the Truth of the Self.
So the giving up of passions through all the
observances of dharma so far elaborated upon have
meaning only with respect to this attainment of Know-
lledge. This is justified by the Upanishadic saying
“तमसं वेदांवेदज्ञं च द्राक्षरं विविधदिवं च ब्रह्मविदं
— the seekers of Truth strive to know It through the study
of the Vedas, yajna, dāna, tapas, renunciation etc.” (Brah-
adaranyaka 4.4.22). This also asserts that life’s goal,
the winning of perfect freedom, perfect bliss and perfect
wisdom consists in this supreme Knowledge.

In order to appreciate the immense potentiality of
the jivana-yajna this concept of knowledge as means to
moksha or liberation has to be properly understood;
because this is the fundamental feature of Vedanta. The
Vedas are very clear about it: “जाला देव मृच्छते सर्वपायः.”
“मेंदेन सिरिदाशा दत्तामृच्छते नागम्; पञ्चा दिशायोऽवजः” “knowing
that effugent (conscious) entity, one becomes free
from all bonds” “knowing It alone one goes beyond
death; there is no other way for liberation”. There
are any number of such decisive statements. And
they are supported by reasoning also. For when we
minutely analyse the fundamentals of life and death,
the various factors that go to make the picture of this
external world and the ‘feeling being’ called man
to whom this picture is being presented, then we
are forced to the conclusion that the experiencing en-
tity is all that matters. The rest is purely relative, con-
tantly appearing and disappearing and having no sub-
stance of its own, like a dream.

Every one knows and accepts that the dream world
is false and ephemeral and is an illusion of the mind.
Hence one does not base his plans of future life on the
facts of dream happenings; he does not rely on the
money amassed in dream for building a home here;
he does not seek the help of dream friends in necessities
of the waking state. For he knows they do not exist
at all and even during dream he actually did not amass
the wealth or meet any such friends, it was just imagina-
tion. But when you come to think of it, dream in it-
self is as valid and real as the waking world is to us.
There is of course a certain amount of relative lost of
coherence in the happenings and clarity of understanding
in dream; but this is caused by the ‘cloudiness’ of sleep, its incapacity to perfectly adjust and arrange
the impressions of the mind that create and present the
dream world. In waking this arrangement is perfect.
This difference, however, is not the reason why th.
perceptions of dream are false and those of waking are
true. Because even with this difference the waking too
is capable of yielding false perceptions as when you mis-
take a distant object to be something else, the burning
sands of the mirage to be water, the formless space of
the sky to be like a overturned huge bowl, and so on.
So there seems to be no solid reason for holding that
the waking world is essentially different from dream as
truth is from falsity. And if dreams were to come in
a continuous sequence, as experienced by the hero of
H. G. Wells’ Armageddon, then one will be completely
at a loss to know which is the dream and which the
waking, which is his true life and which one the im-
agination. Actually apart from the absence of proofs as
to the distinct difference of waking from dream we find
to the contrary that the former has in it all the criteria
that go to make a perception false.

Suppose you see a friend of yours driving past you
in the street and due to some reason or other he does
not respond to your greetings. Without minding this
you enter the shop in front in order to do some purchases
and to your surprise find that very same friend sitting
there. If you go up to him and say “Hello, you are
here! Just a minute back I saw you driving down the
street” your friend will probably answer, “You must have
seen my ghost.” You too laugh and take it for granted
that you have made a mistake and that the unmistakable
perception of your friend driving in the car was after
all an illusion. Why? Mainly because the same man
cannot be simultaneously driving outside and sitting
in the shop. Either of the one must be taken as false.
The present perception which has contradicted the
first is naturally taken as valid and also you justify it
with such reasons as, "Had he been my friend he would have responded to me" and so on.

Now, in this example we find three outstanding characteristics. One is that it essentially involves a change perceived by the senses, a change attributed to the object regarding its situation in time and space. The man driving in a car is changed to one sitting in a shop. Perhaps his dress also is different. Unless there is a proof to the contrary, these two are different entities; any connection between them is illusory like the solidity of a particle that is nothing but atoms in intense motion. Secondly, there is an unaccountability about it — how he managed so quickly to park the car somewhere, change clothes and be seated in the shop. If a magician or trick-maker causes a rain of currency notes from nowhere, you conclude it is false, because currency notes do not fall from skies. Thirdly, there is the awareness of the second perception contradicting the first and resulting in the conviction, "He whom I saw in the car is not the man I took him to be". The three factors noted above are referred to in the philosophic language as प्रतिविरोध वा in the दृष्टिक, कारणात्मक वा and वान." 

All these three factors are easily found to be common to the perceptions of waking. They are perceived through the senses and every object so perceived is constantly undergoing changes. Science says that the cloth you have worn yesterday is not the same today, it is lost once for all. The flower you have smelt an hour ago has completely changed by now. The cells of your very body are getting transformed every moment. The immense mountain ranges are slowly being ground to dust and the dust deep in the earth is being hardened and ready to be thrown up in an upheaval as the mountain rocks. We falsely take them to be the same and stable; and for all practical purposes it 'works', until of course the cloth is torn, the blossom withers and the body falls into the cold clutches of death. Thus change being the very nature of the objects of waking they afford a fertile field for false perception.

Secondly there is also the unaccountability of their appearance. Sri Harsha in his masterpiece of Vedantic thought, Khandana-khanda-khayya shows how any form of real cause-effect relationship is impossible with respect to anything cognised through the senses. That a pot has come out of a ball of clay, or this tree grown out of a tiny seed is itself untenable. And then that the fantastic pot is being seen by me is another unaccountable phenomenon, since I the conscious entity can not have any connection with the pot which is inert. In fact the rising of the entire world of waking has no real accountable origin, either conscious or inert, unless we attribute it to an illusion, like the creations of dream or mirage.

Thirdly, just as the dream experience is contradicted in waking, so also in the supreme state of consciousness called सामाधि in which the mystics and yogis establish themselves, this world too is contradicted, they see its unsubstantiality as clearly as we see that of dreams. Even for an ordinary man in sound sleep this waking world is negated. Where it goes and how it again dawns on the consciousness is a mystery. All the same man takes it at its face value in a blind supposition; and that makes him go on working, gathering, enjoying the bitter and sweet fruits of life. The falsity of it all never comes to his mind. He has no time to see that these things
of the world have not ‘responded’ to him. If they were really his then they would have remained with him always. But every day they are cheating and deserting him, running out of his hands inspite of his frantic efforts to retain them. Neither do they stay permanently nor leave him in permanent peace. It is only in the fond hope of “This time I will hit it” man goes on clinging to them.

Whereas a little thoughtfulness will show that the only feature which has escaped all the above-said drawbacks in the world set-up, the only thing which is unperceived by the senses, unchanging, single, self-evident and beyond the necessity of being accountable, uncreate and uncontradicted is the conscious entity, the self, the atman, the ‘I’ that experiences the dream and waking. This ‘I’ must learn to break the blind supposition and see the waking as objectively as it sees the dream. Due to thick identification this waking world now is subjectively mixed with ‘I’ and ‘mine’. Knowledge alone can break this identification and remove the supposition, no other operation is needed. The prison-walls in dream do not need to be pulled down in order to release you, your experienced family doctor can not cure you of the disease contracted in dream. You have just to wake up, come to your senses and know it as dream. Similarly to be unlimited by the bondages of the waking world you must wake up into higher realms, into a deeper knowledge that is beyond the senses. Instead, if you exert yourself in changing the world or dwelling on it for some solution then the stronger does the illusion take hold of you. All the philosophic trends both at home and foreign, modern and ancient, which tried and even now try to prove material and mental well-being as the immediate means to peace and perfection have committed this fault and hence fail to fulfil the purpose. All that is achieved in dream, however wonderful and precious, however carefully worked out is after all a figment of imagination. Out of falsity and impermanence the real abiding cannot be got. Hence all perceived things being unstable, we must divert our attention to the perceiver, the atman for this fulfilment of the abiding.

Having analysed the worlds of appearance, the created, the seeker of Truth must cease going after them, knowing well that the finite created can not yield the Infinite uncreate, and then seek to know of that from the Master” (Mundaka Upanishad).

And in this new quest we need not have to go far. As the Chandogya beautifully puts it, the field of investigation is this very human life and the treasure that will remove our spiritual penury is hidden here within this person—“यथा हिश्चरितां नित्यिनरंजनं उपरं वरि सजस्त्रयो न किस्मेवेवलोक्यं: सब्जा प्रहर्षंस्कुलय: एवं ब्रह्मांश्च न विद्वन्तनुपन्ते हि प्रवर्ज्ञा—Just as when a secret treasure of gold is buried in a field, people ignorant of the fact walk over it on the surface, sit there and lament their poverty and yet do not benefit by the wealth, even so all these beings everyday and for ever exist and move in this world of Brahman and yet It being covered by untruth, they do not realise and enjoy It” (8.3.2.). Just as the layer of soil and rock separates the wealth from us so the covering of maya, ignorance or false knowledge prevents us from the wealth of perfection. Hence that maya has to be removed and thrown away. In other words the innermost self is to be realised.
It will not do to say that every one knows oneself. Most people by self mean the body. But this can not be the innermost. If a thorn pricks you it takes time to locate the place. It shows that the body is apart and distant from the experiencing self. The pain which is immediately cognised may be supposed to exist in the experiencer. But this is not so, since the mind alone is the seat of pains and pleasures. It is more immediate than the body and very near, almost intertwined with the perceiver; yet it is perceived objectively, analysed and known. For this to be possible there must exist an essential distance between the knower and the known. The distance in miles of a city from different places on the respective routes leading to the city are measured from a particular fixed and central spot in the city, say a tower or Railway station or any such prominent or important structure. At the portals of that structure the milestone will register zero; but that does not mean that the building or structure is without dimensions. It encloses a distance of its own, perhaps it takes full five minutes to go from one end of it to the other. Similarly the mind, though being the seat of experiences and self-consciousness, is still an object and as such is not the innermost. Beyond it the knower, the self-conscious principle is the innermost atman, which is verily you. This is the centre, the secret treasure, to be approached and tackled; and this, because of its very innermostness, is not generally known in its fullness and reality.

The Upanishads use the word 'aparoksha', which means 'most immediate', for the Brahman or the Self alone. But it is ordinarily used to refer to objects when they are directly cognised through the senses or mind. I see a flower in front of me or a fruit placed on my palm. I see it instantaneously and clearly. I possess a direct, intimate and correct knowledge of it. Similarly with every feeling of pain and pleasure. Then is it not proper to consider these objects also as immediate in experience? Why should we conclude that self alone is immediate? Here too analysis reveals at what a distance these objects are placed from the point of experience. At the very outset it will be clear that the immediacy of objects is not in their very nature in which case it will exist with them always; to the contrary the immediacy depends on certain conditions. In utter darkness for instance the object ceases to be immediate. And even when perceived the first contact of knowledge is with the light that covers the object. In fact it is impossible to see a naked object 'as it is', bereft of this veil of light. Then is the light itself immediate? Light too must pass through the portals of the eye before it is perceived. If eyelids close then light is cut off from the field of experience. The eye too in its turn is not immediate, since it needs to be connected with the mind in order to convey the experience. Absent-mindedly eyes may stare into space, no light, no object is cognised. When mind steps in and functions then it colours and changes the perception according to its nature. The same eyes view the same object differently in different attitudes or moods of the mind. If the mind is impure it sees the object as faulty, if attached then as pleasing, if spiteful then as an enemy, if lustful then as desirable, and so on. This mind too with all its influence over the perceptions is yet not immediate always. In deep-sleep it dissolves and is not felt at all. There is no function, no perception in that state except the awareness of the self in a profound rest. There is no other common
human experience which takes us deeper and more inward than this. Hence the self alone is the innermost, unfailingly cognized in all states and yet not clearly understood.

Now the question arises, how to possess the knowledge of this self, who is the undivided, immediate and so far unknown entity called the knower? For this we have no other go but to resort to the Vedas. This entity is named भौतिकता प्रार्थक्ष त्रिवर्त्त यह भविष्यत्—the self of the Upanishads. That is to say, it can be known only through the Upanishads. At another place the Vedas say, "नान्देषवति नन्देषते तत्र नुव्ययि—that all pervading great self is not grasped by one who is ignorant of the Vedas". So the knowledge of the nature of this Self can come only from the scriptures. And in the vast extent of the Vedas this knowledge is contained in the chief or key sentences (Mahaakalyas) which are therefore the particular subject of Vedantic study. These are to be heard, their meaning reasoned out and, by meditation, made one's own in order to yield the absolute fruit of knowledge.

In all the mahaakalyas like सत्यानातसत्य—this immediately experienced self is the Brahman, प्रत्यज्ञा प्रत्यज्ञ—this conscious self is Brahman, अ यस्वं गुरवं यदासाधारिषे स एकः—the living power pulsating in the far away Sun is one and the same that pervades this soul, in all these we have two things which we are asked to identify as one—the self (atman) and God (Brahman). In all the world's religions it is the lonely voice of Vedanta that declares this singular statement. To others it may appear as the height of blasphemy. But Vedanta insists that it is not enough to know God, or just know the self, one must realise the essence that is in both. Until then true li-

beration can not be achieved; for, "सत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसत्यसsatya, who attains, who gathers, who eats, whose nature is eternally unchanging—this is called the atman. Of these four functions let us for convenience take up the third-'eats', because a clue is afforded in the explanatory phrase 'who eats the objects here'. 'Here' refers to the state of waking in which this familiar visible world is cognized. The five senses of knowledge and five senses of actions are awake and function vigorously. Man experiences the sense-objects and drawn or repelled according to his inborn nature he throws himself into action, achieving and avoiding, making and unmaking. In this way the self 'eats' or enjoys pains and pleasures.
and is filled with the world of senses. The self in this state is completely identified with body and prana; bodily comforts and appeasing prana with food and drink become the major items of life. Technically speaking, the annamaya koshā and pranamaya koshā are predominant. It becomes very difficult to recognise the self as of pure consciousness and bliss under the gross and heavy make-up of these two physical coverings. Yet the 'isness' (तु) or existence of some experiencing entity is felt. None can deny or be deprived of the experience "I am". Only it seems to be fettered with the attributes of birth and death, hunger and thirst, activity and fatigue.

When body and senses get tired and wish to rest then the soul shifts its centre of activity to the mind and one falls asleep and starts dreaming. The mind deprived of its entrance into the external world through the senses, 'gathers' the impressions (vāsanas) of the world-experiences and with them as the material creates within itself a world of its own, the world of dream. This is the second functioning of the self denoted as the 'gathering'. In this subtle region of vāsanas the self appears less hidden and its self-effulgent nature shows itself. It is evident that the Sun, moon or any earthly light is not there to help one see the dream-objects, one perceives them by one's own light. In a poetic way the Brhadaranyaka explains: "In that state where he is said to be dreaming, he gathers a part of the impressions of this world of waking and himself creating the dream body, casts himself in it as its enlightener and propeller. The light of the objects there is the light of the thought-forms and he sees them with the light of the self's undimining eternal light of perception. There is no sun nor eyes. Verily in dream the experiencer is self-luminous. There are no chariots, no horses to draw them, nor are there any roads; he creates the chariots, horses and roads as well. There is really no joy there, no pleasures born of contact with objects like wife and children, no ecstasy either, yet he himself becomes the joys, pleasures and ecstasies. There are no foliage, no lakes and flowing rivers except what he himself has created; he is the lone creator, the actor without instruments."

Here the Upanishad tries to bring out two characteristics of the atman. One is the self-luminous nature (तत्त्वशीलता) of consciousness (विज्ञान). In waking where other illuminators, objects and instruments of perceptions are available this essential light of the self is not clearly seen. Here we find that when all lights are put out yet the self can perceive all things. This is possible only if we attribute to it the form of an eternal and unconditioned perception (विज्ञानवृद्धि). Secondly it is capable of manifesting itself as the entire world of differences consisting of perceived, perceiver and means of perception—actor, action and the fruits of it. That is to say the atman is advaita, the undivided single principle, the real behind all duality of the dream; and this can be extended easily to the world of waking which also is a manifestation of the mind.

Next we come to the third function of the atman viz. 'attains' (प्राप्तिः). Attains what? Naturally that which has been missed in the first two functions of waking and dream. All the experiences of these two states can be classed under one single category—objectivisation; with restlessness, activity or disturbance (विरोधः) as its inevitable feature. Now, worn out and weary of both waking and dreaming, when senses drop off and
mind too fails, then the self plunges into the dark depths of sleep, like a bird ousted from its nest taking to the skies. Stretched in that limitlessness, lying unsupported in the lap of an objectless void, the self 'attains' to a profound rest, a pure joy. Rather, as the Kautilya Upanishad puts it, "At the time of deep-sleep in which all things get dissolved, the purusha overpowered by darkness attains the form of joy" (गुप्तदानकाले सकले विलीने तथमोरूपति: सुखब्यापति).

Here we have a brief and complete picture of sushupti (deep sleep) consisting of three things: dissolution of mind along with all other objects and impressions, the presence of ignorance and lastly the manifestation of self's ananda (bliss) aspect. The first explains why the experience of deep sleep is not registered immediately in the present tense as, "I am joyful and know nothing". Instead it is always a recollection, "I slept soundly and knew nothing". In sushupti there is no instrument with which to register its experience. The self alone is and feels itself and the darkness of causal ignorance covering it. Hence on waking it can only recollect itself as having been pure, alone and blissful in sleep and not knowing anything. This existence of the causal ignorance in sushupti is the only appendage, only limitation that vitates the state and deprives it of the preciousness of being the final state of perfection to be achieved. For, this seed of ignorance of sushupti sprouts into its various forms of identification with body, mind and senses when these come into the field of experience in waking and dream. Hence the complete destruction of this ignorance is necessary. It is not enough to feel the pure blissful aspect of the atman so long as it is accompanied by "I knew nothing". To the contrary one must attain to the consciousness of "I am the all, I am verily Brahman, the infinite, the omniscient" and retain this firm knowledge in all states of being. Since such a knowledge is not acquired by going to sleep, there is the necessity of training the self to abide in the fourth state called turiya, in which the fourth function of atman comes into play, and we see the atman as the eternally unchanging.

This state though achieved here in this human body is yet a supersensual unworldly experience defying all description. By deep meditation on the self's pure nature one transcends all objectivisation and limitations, the current jumps across the gap the circuit is completed and the intense light of the spark so created burns for ever the causal ignorance with its entire horde of mental impressions. In this great enlightenment all the fundamental aspects of the atman, which we discovered by analysing the three fore-going states and functions of the atman, are cognised in a flash as crystallising into one single integral, absolute entity, the sat-chit-ananda-ananta (existence-consciousness-bliss-infinite).

And this principle is clearly seen as unchanging and ever-present as the underlying basis of all the different states of being. It is like the ocean-bed which holds the entire mass of waters with its currents, tides, waves, foams and bubbles. Itself unmoving and unchanging it makes possible the observation of all movements and change. If self too were to change then this very observation of change will not be possible. This is a very simple but strong point to prove the changelessness of the experiencing entity.

Suppose you are watching the Republic Day procession at Delhi. All the various armed forces are coming batch after batch, the elephants, horses and camels follow the train, and then the tableaux representing
each State. The whole thing seen in a stream of succession gives an idea not only of the beauty of the celebration but also of the richness, vastness, solidarity and potentialities of the country. Now, instead of one man seeing the whole thing suppose a number of persons come in turns one after the other, each one seeing only one item of the procession, it is possible to assert that the whole procession has been seen but impossible to convey that beauty and that direct experience of the vastness of the country to any one of the men who have seen. For he has seen only a part. The one who saw the guns would not have the idea of the elephants and the one who watched the elephants will be deprived of the presentations of the tableaux. In order to have a comparative and thorough grasp of the entire sequence, one single unchanging person must be the observer.

Apply this to our present subject. Human life is an endless procession of events and experiences—childhood, youth, maturity and old-age, all these come one after the other, each offering a rich variety of experiences. At any point of life, man is generally able to recollect the totality of the experiences of the past. He knows “I as a child did such and such things, felt so and so in youth and now I am thus. What changes has my life undergone!” Such an awareness is not possible if the one who acted in childhood were to change in youth and he who felt in youth were to be different from the one who is musing now. The experiencer must be same through all the changing items of experience. Otherwise each item will be an individual experience unconnected with any other. The connecting factor is the sameness or unchanging nature of the experiencer. The Kâtiâya says: “That very same embodied self

which goes to sleep, dreams and wakes up and thus plays in these three states, out of this has come all this diversity”. The experience, “I went to sleep, I dreamt of wonderful things and now I find that it was all imagination” contains in it the strong proof of the changelessness of the experiencer. This recollection is called pratyabhijña in Vedanta terminology.

This proof will also support the ‘infiniteness’ of the self which is its fourth aspect. That fundamental principle on which all perceptions of limitations depend must by its very nature be limitless. It is the vast space which gives rise to the appearance of a limited space, as that within a pot, a house, or an entire galaxy. Now, if that vast background of space is itself limited then what is it that limits it? If you hold that something envelopes and limits the perceiver or background then is this envelope itself a limited or unlimited thing? If it is limited then the question again arises as to what is it that limits this. And the search becomes endless and futile until we are forced to accept one fundamental limitless entity.

If there is no silence behind each uttered sound you cannot hear it. Silence is an eternal background, waves of sound arise and die on it. You may ask, “What is the use of knowing silence? We need sound for our affairs not silence.” But all the same sound is a distraction, as all human affairs are. At its best it yields sensual pleasure. Only when it merges meaningfully into silence does it become spiritually awakening and a lasting joy. Similarly to those people who are so much addicted to objectivisation and the life of duality that the concept of an eternally unchanging, attributeless, purely conscious and absolutely alone self appears to be dry and
unable, we have to say that the self's futility is the greatest utility of man, because it fulfils the only purpose of human birth. Being an object ever to be used and enjoyed by another is the greatest bondage and humility. That alone can be the abode of peace and perfection where there is no give and take. It is essential to stress upon this pure and 'fourth' aspect of the atman for another practical reason, because this alone affords a meeting point between the study of the self and God (Brahman) as we shall presently see.

In the Brahma Sutra of the Sage Badarayana Brahman is defined as कामाक्षायति, who is the cause of creation, sustenance and dissolution of the universe. Just as atman the knower and the experiencer is held by various schools to be various things like body, prana, senses, mind etc., even so there are differences of opinion as to what is this primeval cause of the universe. The Naiyayikas of the logical school posit that the atoms are the cause, they are eternal and indestructible and when they combine with each other creation starts. The Samkhya school has the theory that prakriti, the causal matter as distinct from purusha (the conscious soul) is the creatrix. Followers of Mimamsa assert that creation is eternal, without beginning and end and that the jiva takes different bodies in different lives according to the force of his actions (karma) in previous lives and enjoys their fruits in the form of joys and sorrows. Modern science too has many creation theories. Some hold that the electronule which is neither pure energy nor matter, was the primeval thing and when an electron got separated from it the whole process of creation was switched on into action, the different combinations of electrons and protons producing matter and slowly the universe we see came into being. What made the initial electron to shoot out is not answered by science, and if answered, the reply consists of vague suggestions of an indefinable 'chance'. It becomes a still more complicated and inconvenient question when we ask if the primeval cause is a conscious entity or not. If it is not, then it is against reason that an inert object should initiate the living process. If it is, then the relation between that entity and consciousness should be explained.

It is not our intention here to go into the details of the arguments for and against these theories. It will suffice our present purpose to note that none of these is justified by the Vedas. The prayer in the Swetaswatar reading of Krishna Yajur Veda says, "He who gave birth to Brahman, the creator, in ancient times and bestowed him with the Vedas, to that Lord who is the light of the mind and self I go for refuge." This clearly shows that the primeval cause is of the nature of consciousness which enlightens all beings and is omniscient so as to emanate the Vedas. It is the creator and the prime mover of even the causal matter. It must therefore be omnipotent and free to exercise its powers in creation, sustenance and dissolution.

We observe fundamental laws existing in the physical and mental worlds. There is a definite order in creation. The power that is responsible for this is the power of Brahman. The suns, moons, stars and constellations rise and set, the seasons change and depart in such a strict routine that we are able to set our time and regulate our life through a scale based on them. The raising of corn and blossoming of flowers, the fall of rains and the rain-bow, the kindling of life
in the mother's womb, every one of these and other happenings in nature involve a definite process. Each thing in creation has its nature and sticks unfailingly to it; the fire to its warmth, earth to its solidity, water to its fluidness. They cannot stray a hair's breadth from this. They seem to be afraid to do so, lest they be punished. Hence the *Katha Upanishad* (6.3) declares:

मयाद्वामतिस्वपूर्वः ।
मयाविद्वैषवास्वपूर्वः । ॥

“Out of fear of it burns the fire, as also the sun, out of fear of it Indra and Vayu maintain their posts and Death the fifth officer runs to his errands.” One is led to believe that like a chieftain supervising the workers whip in hand, God governs the universe. But the same *Upanishad* a line ahead states: “Knowing this great, terrible one like the thunder held aloft, one becomes deathless”. This is to remind us that with all these stupendous dimensions Brahman is very closely connected with us, it is very essential and possible to approach and know It.

This leads us to the fourth aspect of the Brahman where deprived of all the adjuncts and functions of the Almighty God, He is the सम्बन्धमवलक्षण भूमि—of the Taatiareya Rishi, the Brahman who is absolute existence knowledge-infinite-bliss. But for this it is impossible to reconcile the self to God. The first three aspects of the self in which we saw it as the experience of waking, dream and sleep are paralleled to the above three aspects of God. They can never meet and both must co-exist on the worldly (व्यवस्थित) plane of becoming and duality. The self is a puny creature with limited powers, knowledge and joys, whereas God is the supreme being omni-
utterances of Upanishads proclaim—“सत्वां व यदाने यथावतावरोिं स एकः.”

All the innumerable works on Vedanta have been produced in order to put forth the meaning of these utterances fully and clearly through various reasoned out and technically perfect methods of approach (सिद्धिश्रेष्ठ). They are of great help to an aspirant in clearing the doubts that rise in his mind and answering objections raised by other schools of thought.

But a mere intellectual understanding is not enough. One must go to realisation, which is a totally different thing. When you come across an unfamiliar word, say ‘hippopotamus’ and wish to know its meaning you refer to the dictionary, and find it explained as, ‘a large African animal of aquatic habits with very thick skin and short legs’ or some such explanation. Your curiosity is satisfied. But certainly you cannot be said to have known the hippo. That will happen only when you come across the animal in a zoo or an African jungle and see it with your own eyes. Dictionary only substitutes familiar words for unfamiliar ones, or at the most it can present a picture. However reliable, it is after all information, not experience. Real knowledge comes of the latter. Similarly the great utterances of the Upanishads should be “seen” with the mind’s eye as clearly as you would see an apple held in the palm of your hand in broad day-light. The self represented by तब, तथा, प्रज्ञान, भावना and other such words as also the Brahman, the Parameshwara, the “that” (तत्) should both be experienced in the secret chamber of one’s own heart.

This means one has to undergo spiritual disciplines. Through intense discrimination and dispassion the तिवा-चाहिण्या is crystallised. One sees the self indwelling within this body as the pure consciousness witnessing all the experiences of life. “In seeing, hearing, touching, smelling, eating, walking, sleeping, dreaming, talking, giving, taking, closing and opening the eyes it is the senses that contact the objects, I am not the doer”—thus firmly convinced, the yogi knows the Truth” (Gita 5.8). Such a realised aspirant never mistakes himself to be the gross body or the mind or any such adjunct and even in other persons he sees the jiva as such. This is the realisation of the jiva principle denoted by ‘I’ or ‘you’ (तबन्दन).

Similarly the various practices of अष्टाध्यम mentioned in the scriptures when followed with deep faith and devotion yield the unbroken vision of the Lord, the Parameshwara, denoted by ‘Brahman’ and as ‘that’ (तत्त्वत्त्व). Then one begins to see the whole world permeated with that principle and pulsating with His divine conscious presence. A person who has acquired these twin realisations and developed himself through the principles we have detailed in the foregoing pages, alone has the full fitness to meditate on the mahavakyas and aspire after oneness with Brahman. Shiva, the Absolute, the supreme God, has already been referred to as “पर्वतायम शान्ति—the hushing up of all worlds, absolute peace”—and yet people filled with the world seething within and experiencing peacelessness at every step dare to say “Shivaham—I am Shiva”. Such parrot-like repetition, if not harmful, is certainly a futile mockery. So it is to be carefully borne in mind that the required fitness is to be first attained before venturing to meditate on the oneness of the self and Brahman and attain to supreme knowledge. Then alone the hidden
treasure will open out and be within reach of the aspirant.

Taking up this Vedic analogy of the treasure referred to previously, Acharya Shri Shankara in his Vishishtachudamani has briefly and beautifully worked out all the necessary steps involved in the destruction of ignorance and attainment of knowledge:

भावस्तु वनस्पति निवासिष्ठमिच्छिन्तयोऽनुसम्पत्ति
सम्पेक्षस्थे गतिः ब्रह्माण्वेष्टु संग्रह्यस्ति।

dūrdhṛ-प्रविष्टीपदेशस्मार्जायामिविमयेऽ
महावाक्यंतरिहृद्यमये त्रयं न दुःशिष्थि।

(In order to get at the hidden treasure) it is necessary to hear of it from a reliable person and spotting out the place start digging. Below the surface-soil and debris you come across rocks and blocks of stone which have to be dislodged. The treasure then comes into view. Simply gazing at it will not do. It has to be hauled up and made one's own. All this has to be done: of what avail is it to use merely high-sounding words? Similarly the Tattva, the Essence of the pure Self, hidden by maya and its brood is attained not by arguments and word-combats but by receiving the teachings of a knower of Brahman, mentally reasoning it out, becoming convinced of it and realising it through practice of meditation enhanced by restraint of senses, forbearance, renunciation etc.

Of such a winner of this wealth of Brahman-knowledge, the Vedas and scriptures find no words to speak. He verily becomes the Brahman. Having made a holocaust of the causal ignorance, all his bondages burnt, nothing can touch him in his impeccable glory and unshakable stand in the consciousness of the Spirit. The Vedas with an awed voice exclaim, "किमिन्दन कर्य कामय शरीरमनवक्षयते—Desiring what, moved by what lack should he yoke his body to exertion?" He is fulfilled paramountly and has no lack, no duty, no affliction. And yet the physical and mental frame, which the others still continue to attribute to the knower of Truth, have become so pure and brimming with sattvic qualities that they in their natural movements scatter the wealth contained in them; they seek the good of the whole creation. The mere presence of the fullmoon is enough to relieve the sun-scorched tropical land of all its travail. The effect is effortless and inevitable. It is not possible that the moon should rise and yet withhold its cool, nectarine rays. Similarly when the knower of Brahman steeped in the bliss of it opens his mouth to speak, only words of life-saving wisdom gush out and the flood submerges the hearts of all the others around him consumed day and night by the evermounting flames of fear and sorrow, death and delusion.

This is the sprinkling of the sanctified waters of the last bath, avabhrita-nana. And with this the story of jivana-yajna comes to a close. Considering its entirety it may appear to be a prodigious picture, a tall task for the common man. But we must remember it is the picture of the ideal and an ideal, if it is to be precious and high, will naturally need much effort, much footing and scaling and even taking frightful leaps. All the same it is an ideal to live for and die for. Actually it is such a tremendous ideal that dying for it is not possible. In its universal sweep death is a minor turn. The ageless life of man has come like a mighty
river meandering through so many births and deaths, and none can say how many more such valleys have to be crossed over. This much is certain that till the goal, the fruit of this *jivana-yajna* is not attained this life-stream will not cease to wander. Hence laying aside the fear of death or any other obstacle and without asking “When will this be finished, how can we accomplish it?” one must right away start shaping life on the model of this great sacrifice. Perfection may come here and now or one may master only a part of it, just finish offering a few of the oblations, *yajna ahuti* of the life sacrifice. Then death intervenes and shifts the field of sacrifice. But when the situation changes and strength is renewed, one can always proceed on. A lull does not mean a loss. Sri Krishna gives the assurance in the *Gita*, “न शि क्लायणक्रम करिष्रुप रातर अभिनवति—The doer of the auspicious act, my dear, never comes to any evil end” and also, “तत्सन्यस्य बन्धस्य भवति शास्त्रीयमार्गस्य भगवान— even a little of this *dharma* when practised saves one from great fear”.

Once you take this view of life, launch yourself on this course of *sadhana*, then, as already noted, no situation is too small or too great for it. Our very infirmities and obstructions become the material to be handled and hurled into the fire of life, and the more you offer yourself thus the taller grows the *yajna-flame* till it becomes a bonfire to consume the greatest of our drawbacks and limitations and transform the self to its native, rich and golden purity.

**WHEN SONG BECOMES SILENCE**

Having come to the end of the *yajna* one may be tempted to ask, “Is this all?”; and we have no hesitation in assuring back that this is the all. There is a wholesomeness both in the means and the end which may not be easily seen in the case of *jivana-yajna*. Just as one is not prepared to accept knowledge of oneself as the end of human aspirations, even so one is not satisfied with the fact that *dharma* is enough to take one to that goal.

Ninety percent of men view *dharma* to be a useless ideology or even a blockade to all creativeness and prosperity. Out of the remaining ten percent who are serious about religion, most of them are led away by some excitingly curious and uncommon practices through which they seek to achieve dramatic, out of the way, unaccountable and mysterious experiences. Usually such people pass over the dictates of *dharma* as being too elementary and unimportant. For those who take to religion as a fashion, *dharma* becomes an outdated style lingering amidst the ‘unsophisticated middle-class’. Very frequently it has been our experience to address a group of aristocrats and at the end of the talk someone or other comes up with the genuine suggestion, “Why talk of *dharma* and religious practices in this twentieth century? Is it not better to make each one realise himself and be done away with it? That ought to be easy, I suppose.”

To avoid such widespread misconception two points have to be made clear: firstly, that *dharma* is the alpha
and omega of spiritual life and subjection to it is the only way to perfection; secondly, that the specific dharma which lead to perfection are neither opposed to nor active promoters of worldly prosperity.

We must remember that there are two clear divisions of dharma, one is the worldly which yields material prosperity and welfare (प्रसूनति) and the other is the godly leading to liberation or perfection (निरंतरता). In the worldly dharma are included the special means to prosperity spoken of in scriptures as also the physical and mental laws within the scope of man's research, discovery and handling. This latter is what is called science in modern terms. Hence we may say that the worldly dharma depend to a large extent on our intelligence and capacity. If we use them properly they will empower us to increase our wealth and sensual enjoyment; if improperly handled this very power will become a threat to universal welfare, as it is in the present world set-up. On the other hand the godly dharma are confined only to the function of leading man to his highest good, to transform and elevate him above worldly comforts and calamities; and they are entirely beyond the capacity of human discovery and intervention; they are to be known only through the scriptures and the inspired guidance of great spiritual masters. It is of these dharma that we have spoken in the foregoing pages; because it is these dharma that we want more than anything else today.

Let those so-called backward nations who lack in material wealth and physical amenities strive to specialise in science. But as a whole, this poor earth, this habitation of intelligent, aspiring and erring human beings has had enough of it. Drunken with our progress in worldly prosperity we are heading on towards an irretrievable blunder. In fact as the eminent sociologist Dr. Pitirim Sorokin of Harvard has pointed out, whenever there was increase in worldly prosperity in human history there has been a corresponding intensity of hatred and strife between man and man and nation and nation, leading to large-scale destruction and untold sufferings. We may say this is not due to any inherent fault of prosperity. It is just that while in possession of it or while engaged heart and soul in acquiring it through worldly dharmas or scientific development, man easily overlooks and even forsakes and condemns the godly dharmas. Whenever this has happened, whether in prosperity or impoverishment, in a state of scientific progress or backwardness, there have been wars and conflicts and disturbance of world peace.

Hinduism goes deeper into the analysis and lays down that the world is of such a nature it can never yield lasting peace or perfect happiness by any means. Whether communism or altruism, we have to realise that it is an 'ism' and can provide only momentary solutions; when times change, fresh problems come and life shows itself again in its pitiful rags. In its natural state water is cold. It may get heated by effort or some accidental means, but is bound to cool down when left to itself. Similarly the nature of world is joyless, the temple of sorrow, as the Gita says, and it is bound to assert itself in spite of all our efforts. There is no real use trying to fight against or avoid this fact just because we do not like it. All conceptions of an Utopia which promises permanent welfare, security and goodness are magnificent dreams and go to make fine literature, nothing more. Hinduism tried to impress this point
deeply through its own version of the Utopia, the lives of its great incarnations of Godhood.

When we study the life of Sri Rama and Sri Krishna as found in Valmiki's Rāmāyaṇa, Vāyasa's Mahābhārata, Bhāgavata and other puranas, we get the true picture of the life-glorious, the ideal according to the scriptures. Yet this picture is painted with a liberal colouring of human sorrow, loss and disappointment. Rama in early boyhood developed dispassion towards the world and could not enjoy the princely life. Vasishtha through his teachings imparted peace to him and sent him to the forests to protect the yajña of Viswamitra from the attacks of powerful asuras. On his way back in that early age he got married. When he was a full grown youth and about to be crowned as King he was deprived of all that one considers dear in life and forced to retire to the forests. At the end of his fourteen years of hermit life his wife Sita was kidnapped by Ravana. Writhing in the agony of her separation Rama had to search all the forests for her, make the friendship of beasts, use political devices to gain support, execute the colossal task of building a bridge across the sea, march over to Lanka and in a bloody battle kill Ravana and regain Sita. After his return to Ayodhya and his enthronement he had a brief period of joy only to be rudely disrupted by the necessity of acquiescing to public accusations against Sita and sending her again to the forest, this time alone and in shameful banishment. The rest of the years are one stretch of solitary, cheerless sustaining of royal duties, culminating in the sentencing of his dear brother Lakshmana to death and himself entering the waters of Sarayu in order to shake off the mortal coil.

Let us turn to the life of Sri Krishna. It is more strikingly complete in its representation of human life. At the very outset he is born within the walls of a prison, in the very jaws of death, from which he is hurriedly transported in the dead of night to a safer place. Born a prince he is brought up as a cowherd in the coarse conditions of a peasant village. His boyhood is replete with attacks by asuric agencies of the evil Kamsa bent on killing the child who has been prophesied as his death. At the tender age of eleven Krishna with his elder brother sets out of his foster-home and presents himself in Kamsa's court, successfully overcomes the traps laid out for him and finally in a gruesome combat kills Kamsa, his own uncle. Krishna's parents are released from prison and the father of Kamsa installed on the throne. The ensuing years are spent in constant strife trying to quell the revolts and conspiracies of Kamsa's friends. Atlast, disturbed and overwhelmed by Jarasandha's repeated attacks, Krishna flees to Dwarka and builds a city in the sea. His life as a married man is a stern account of disciplines and duties and very many bereavements and unpleasant issues, in one of which Krishna labours hard to vindicate himself against the charge of stealing a very precious divine jewel lost by a courtier. Meanwhile the Kaurava-Pandava spite blazes into a vital conflict and Krishna is called on countless occasions for helping the Pandavas during their exile. In the end Krishna goes to Duryodhana as their ambassador and is ill-treated by him. War becomes inevitable. Like the true lover and magnanimous man he is, Krishna agrees to be Arjuna's charioteer and face the wrath of the enemy without himself lifting any arm. But he is forced to break this promise too at a critical stage of the
battle when Arjuna’s life is at stake. Out of the bloody war emerges victory to the Pandavas as also an aftermath of gloom and depression. Krishna, in his declining years, is rewarded with the privilege to witness the Yadavas fight amongst each other in a drunken bout and perish. In order to protect his brother he is forced to take up arms and perfect the total extinguishment of his own clan. As a fitting climax to this he wanders alone into the jungle and is shot by a mistaken hunter.

Even a glance at the above report is sufficient to reveal the obvious fact that Hinduism does not consider the elimination of strife and sorrow as life as the ideal state; for the simple reason that it is unnatural and impossible. The divinity of Rama or Krishna, or for that matter any individual, is not guaranteed by the power and prosperity he amassed, the comforts he could get out of life; to the contrary it consists in how he faced and bore the inequities of life, how he passed dignified, accomplished, undisturbed and unscathed through all that is common with us, completely transcending them. He is divine in the standards of godly dharma he set up, divine in the supreme realisations of the Truth he expressed in his lofty teachings. For, what is divinity but the apex of human culture and achievement. It is not the singularity of some one sitting in the seventh heaven. If that were so then human life would have become a supreme tragedy and all those who will not allow any fond illusions about life but wish to see it as it is, will be forced to become cynics. For, as we have seen, sorrow in truth is an inseparable part of life. It is the nature of material world and hence it can be transcended only in the spiritual. All efforts of man towards prosperity, all development of his culture has its meaning and end in this. If science or material prosperity helps one to remove the obstacles to this development then it is a blessing; instead if it tries to oppose, push back or usurp the place of spiritual culture then it is the most terrible fetter and a curse. It does not mean we are against all efforts to improve the material lot of man. The efforts will be there whether we preach for or against it. Our sheer necessities will goad us towards research and exploitation of natural resources, and even as an enterprise the conquest of external nature and utilisation of its energies to our convenience is a grand thing; only we should remember that it is not the end, the all, the final cure for sorrows. Medical advancement with its brilliant discoveries can and does free us from old diseases and lengthen the span of our life but these very new medicines create fresh troubles, and the extra span of life is frittered away in seeking new escapes, in suffering stranger conflicts and unheard of catastrophes. Hence through the vicissitudes of man’s fortune whether prosperity comes or goes, the spiritual effort always to be maintained, because that alone is the harbour, the source of our strength to bear the inevitable handicaps of life. In fact it is the alchemy to transform these very handicaps and failures into burnishers that bring out the sterling worth in man. As some wise man told of one who was in suffering yet giving himself up to do good, “He has been given the rare privilege of receiving the mark of pain”. This can be told only of such noble persons, not of all those hundreds who bear their pains in humiliation, hunger and silent revolt. Pain or suffering by itself is degrading and undesirable; it is the cultured man who converts this into a golden armour. This needs individual striving through the godly dharmas.
Dr. Pitirim A. Sorokin in his *Reconstruction of Humanity* chooses the individual as the starting point of the transformation of society. He says: “Without hypocrisy or self-deceit every individual as such can begin to work upon himself, developing his creativeness and altruism, increasing the control of his superconscious over the conscious and unconscious regions of his personality.”

Bertrand Russell speaking on the possibility of averting a world catastrophe and destruction of our culture through atomic war has also voiced the same opinion in these words: “We can if we choose destroy the human race. We can, on the other hand, create a happy prosperous, civilised and peaceful human family, embracing all nations, all colours and all creeds. Which we shall do depends upon collective passions and collective passions are the sum of individual passions. Each one of us, if he allows himself to be dominated by hatred, envy, pride of superiority or the pursuit of safety by means of large-scale murder, is contributing his quota towards universal disaster. Each one of us, if he is inspired to action by hope and tolerance and the realisation that strife is as foolish as it is wicked, is doing what lies within his power to bring about an earthly paradise.” This inspiration to the individual, we claim, can obviously come only from an accepted ideal of self-transcending godly living. For it is clear that the qualifications required of the reformed and reformist individual according to the modern thinkers quoted above are the sheer values of the dharma we have been talking about. Whatever may be the modern approach and taste, in whatsoever ‘ism’ we may clothe our ideas, the system under which we want every individual to work and train himself and others must contain in itself these values of dharma. Nothing more, no organisation, no regulations, no platform-preaching, pamphleteering, political tactics or technological maneuvering is necessary. If dharma is achieved it is capable of yielding, independent of any other factor, the highest good of the individual as well as of the universe. For, dharma in truth is the Truth, the God, the absolute Good. This is the secret behind God’s government.

In our recitations of sahasranamas (thousand names of God) we take dharma too as one of His names. Rama and Krishna are spoken of as *vamana-vibhakti*, the embodiment of dharma. In the *Mahabharata* we find Bhishma praying:

\[ \text{पुरावेशेन्द्रम्: पुरावेशेन्द्रसृष्टिः} \]

\[ \text{पुरावेश: सम्बोधित्तता वास्तवमेव नमः} \]

“People who are desirous of the varied fruits of dharms worship Him through the varied dharmas by following them with faith and devotion. That Lord who is of the form of dharma, to him my salutations.” Here we find God spoken of as nondifferent from dharma. He did not create or lay down the rules of dharma as a king or council lays down the rules. Where the ruler is different from the rules he can in emergency or according to his fancy overrule them. But since God himself has become the dharma he can never violate Himself. So when He is supposed to sanction the fruits of actions to creatures, He is not doing it as a manager distributing wages to labourers, but God gives out of Himself. Thus grace of God and effort of the individual become one, God’s restricting Himself to dharma is reconciled with His absolute independence. The same holds good for man too if he has to rise to Godhood and establish God’s kingdom here. Man has to be स्वतंत्र, not स्वतंत्र: स्वतंत्र (swatantra) means self-rule, restraining oneself by oneself, and
the word is used loosely for independence or liberty as we understand today. स्वाध्याय (swacchanda) stands for self-desire, the ability to do as one desires. The former is the godly attribute while the latter represents the ungodly, slavish human aspect. Because desire always denotes a lack, something unachieved. If we aspire always to be led by our desires then we must be eternally wedded to unfulfilment, be ever slaves to the dictates of the mind. It is by overcoming desires and subjecting ourselves to dharma our true inner nature, that we become swatantra, the rulers, the independent. We become like unto God. The way itself is the goal when the last step has been taken; the song itself is the silence when the last note has been sung. And when we ourselves become the dharma, then the practice ceases, effort falls, perfection becomes ours once for all.

Therefore if it appears odd to anyone that 'a concept of life' should exhaust itself in these items of Jivana-yajna and if after reading it any one should look expectantly for more, we can only answer after the fashion of Angelus Silesus:

"Friend, let this be enough. If thou wouldest go on reading,
Go and thyself become the writer and the meaning."

APPENDIX I

References to detailed accounts of Jivana-yajna in the Upanishads:

1. तत्त्वं विद्युष्य यथास्तात्त्वम् ज्ञानम्: भ्रान्त पतिः शरीरिन्मुद्री 
भेंलोमानाति दीर्घेंधरितस्ति हृदयं गूँ: काम धारणं समयः
पञ्चाशतोष्णन्यम्: शास्त्रिकात शक्तिविपचितयानंप्रायत उत्पन्ना
बच्चुर्वल्पमो राजा ओत्तरशमीदाबधुत्तिमेति सा दीर्घ्यं वधकारितात
तथान्यिष्टा तथानेच लोकपरस्य स्वसतिस्ततुष्णो राजस्यव्रतस्तु:
पदानिकाल्मुहित्व तथा प्रभुमये राजस्य लोक्यात्र स्वाध्यायम्
विनिर्मित कर्मा तु सिद्धी वस्तुविन्यासं तत्त्वं धर्मस्य तस्मानी
तथानेच तस्मात सिद्धिविन्यासं ज्ञातविन्यासं तस्मातसिद्धिति
सर्वस्य सारः तस्मात सिद्धितम गृहवाणम तस्मात सारः
I
(last mantra of Narayanopnishad)

2. प्रयत्न शरीरिन्मुद्री यथास्तात्त्वमित्वात् ज्ञानम्: उदाहितः
पतिः। वेदामहिविवातः। दीर्घेंधरितमुः। विच्छिन्न होतम/। प्रायो
वाहुवाहिनिमही। यथात: प्रस्तात:। तु: प्रस्तात:। तु: उद्द्वात:।
समानो भ्राट्वाच्छः।। शरीरं विपक्तः।। वामाभृक्तः।। सुव्याहितः।
सत्यशाऽः। प्रायौ यायाश्च!। शरीरः शरीराभिभागाः।।
श्रेयं धारायो!। तस्मानिः।। प्रायाश्च।। भूमिनिः प्रायाश्च।।
विच्छिन्नः।। वन्योच्छ:।। कष्टीको शुष्काश्च।। तास:।। स्वरूपं विनिर्मितः।।
स्वरूपं विनिर्मितः।। श्रीकारीं उः।। पाला रामः।। महोऽपः।। कामः।।
केशः।। बुद्धीविवाहायानात्तिः।। कर्मविवाहायानात्तिः।।
प्रहस्तः।। यथाश।। प्रायमृण महान्तः।।
(last mantra of Praanagni-hotropanishad)
APPENDIX II

THOUGHT PATTERNS

[Aids to orienting the internal perspective so as to be favourable to and prepared for Jivana-Yajna. Pick out one or more of these patterns and apply it to the mind in beginning and close of day and at constant intervals. The group of patterns presented here is just an indication, similar examples may be gathered from other sources. But it is necessary to stick to one thought for considerable period in order to take it deep into the consciousness.]

DAWN

1. I worship the beautiful dawn, because it is the beginning of day and brings to my mind the Beginning of all things.

All things under experience have an origin. This entire creation, like some mighty tree, has sprouted out of a single seed; and my life is but a fruit of this mighty tree. Each dawn implants its kiss of light on me, and I grow brighter. Each moment I suck the sap of life sprung from the Originator, and I grow richer, sweeter.

Beautiful dawn! who paints the heavens with tints of delicate hues, even so may you fill my life with wisdom and virtue; for, I am the fruit of life and will be the pride of my Originator, the most acceptable offering to him.
THE RIVER OF LIFE (I)

2. Life, you are my companion, for good or bad. I can not do without you, I have none else.
   I have stood on your banks through all eternity and worshipped you alone. I know not what God is. I am too poor to go in search of him. But I know he has sent you to me and you are to be my all.
   I dare not hope to touch the eternal snows of Himalayas; but I find the same impeccable white has melted and is flowing down in a thousand streams to meet me!
   The substance is the same, only the form is changing. God, the unmoving source, is ever manifesting, ever giving himself away as constant motion, which is life.
   In receiving you with reverence and keeping you well, I entertain God himself.

THE RIVER OF LIFE (II)

3. So, dear Life, who art ever cutting down the banks of time and bringing to us the treasures we need, may it be so willed:
   That I harm not any one, not even the crawling ant or wayside flower; for you are worshipped in that too—
   That I learn to be pleased with whatever you have brought, and not aspire to extract more through ways that are untrue, tiresome and unbecoming—
   That I strive to make fit channels for you to flow into fields far and below awakening the latent wealth of golden harvests, for, I alone am enriched and fulfilled in the richness and talents of my fellowmen—
   That I may not, even in humility, prize myself higher than the others—since you are not accessible to such unnatural heights—
   And, lastly, that I may not so distract myself as to forget to listen to you, to the swelling song of your ceaseless flow, singing day and night of the silence that rules the heart of the Snow-Peaks.
COCOON

Like the worm in the cocoon you are busy weaving your own world around—your earnings, collections, contacts, passions and procreations—a hundred silken threads.

This world of yours is warm and cozy; and will protect you until you wake up to your supreme destiny, grow a pair of delicate wings and flutter into the limitless sky.

If you fail in this, if you are lured by the shining, silken nest, and refuse to cut through and come out, then you will be held in eternal captivity and die there, an insignificant worm.

Take care, therefore, O man! Each time you spin a thread round yourself, know it clearly that one day you shall break through it; and when the cocoon is complete, say to yourself, “This is meant for release, not to hold. Here I shall grow my wings, culture my spirit and understanding”; and when the time is come, when the Infinite calls you be ready to go forthwith into the radiance, into the vaster cocoon of the Everlasting Sky.

DEATH

5. Life feeds on death and death on life—as day issues the night and night the day. In the stream of existence that is the greater Life, these two are the recurring ports of call; when you are at one, refresh and equip yourself sufficiently to reach the latter.

All things are the forms of death—for they must by their nature change and die. When you see a flower, a sweet face smiling in beauty, know it is but preparing to fade, to be stained with sorrows’ tears and finally fall off, be forgotten. When you greet the fresh and fragrant dawn, know it is a footstep of time wending its way to the corridor of death.

In bold letters write “I Must Quit” and nail it to the doors of your mind; you will not then be enamoured or led into error in life.

Keep death always in front, it is your shield against temptation.
TRIPLE CARRIAGE

6. Thought, word and deed—are the carriages that take us to meet the world. Deeds are confined to the small group around, words reach up to a wider circle, while through thoughts we envelop the entire creation.

Let us be, then, very particular of thought, and give not to ourselves the liberty to think what we list, but only what we must. Thought forms into words and flowers into deeds. If thought be always pure and helpful then by speaking and acting also we may not loose ourselves, or affict others.

Let us hold ourselves back inwardly whenever we wish to speak or act, and then pick up and peer at the thought prompting from behind. Let us have the sincerity to judge the thought correctly, and the strength to deny it expression if found unfit.

Wary in thoughts, sparing in words and pure in deeds—thus may we carry ourselves in dignity and peace, when we go to meet the world of fellow-beings.

TASTING THE DIVINE ESSENCE

7. Teach me the secret of joy—thirsting for which all beings are fired to action; they proceed towards it, dizzy about and fall missing the aim. Tell me the secret place where joy lies hidden from all.

Joy is that which pulsates in the Creator, impelling Him to create. Joy is that through which God has poured Himself into all that He created. The sky, the waters, the landscape, the flowers and foliage, birds and beasts—from the cricket chirping lustily in the thicket to the saint merged in his mystic vision—all have inherited and enclose that divine essence of joy at heart.

Teach me then the wisdom which wanders not hither and thither, picking up fallen crumbs and looking to others' faces, like beggars in the street. Tell me how to taste this essence hidden in the heart of things.

'The world is a mirror flaunting the image of joy's flower. Like bees buzzing uselessly round the reflection, do not strive to find the essence in the world—no, not even in the mind. Dive deep into your own being,—and to the depths where none else watches or is watched; for the joy of each is one's own being not in an other, nor another.

May all your going out end in this return to yourself—and may that return have its reward in contacting the perennial stream within.'
DEDICATION

8. I dedicate myself to Thee, my Lord, who art the lone object of love—all else are but shadows of a fitful lightning.

I dedicate myself to Thee a hundred times a day. In all my strivings shall I seek to pay my tribute to Thee and Thee alone. Who else is there, what else to gain from them? Joys and sorrows are alike in that they bring Thee to me.

I dedicate myself entirely, my Lord, as a full-blown flower unto the fast receding day. What have I even to strive for? Just be, and every minute of existence my fragrance will rise by itself like a prayer and enclose Thee.

A BOON TO ASK (I)

9. I know thou art the monarch of all the worlds, the store of infinite knowledge and power; but when I come to beg of Thee I do not know what to ask for.

Eternal youth, endless wealth—I want not either. Give that which will never die nor diminish. Give me the knowledge of thine own Being.

Dazzling visions of thy divine glory—I will not know how to receive them, what to do with them. Pray, give me the vision of thyself in all things I perceive, high and low, sentient and insentient.

Fain would I become the diadem on thy crown, a pole-star shining above the worlds, a great power governing the four quarters. I only wish thou wouldst make me a pen in between thy fingers; so that the world may read in my most insignificant works nothing but the terms of thy divine will.
A BOON TO ASK (II)

10. Thou art the Light of lights, lighting heaven and earth, mind and matter. Do thou enlighten my heart.
    Thou art the strength of the strongest, give me the strength always to stand by thee.
    Thou art the pure of the purest, give me purity in all emotions.
    Thou art the joy of the joyous, teach me the gladness of self-contented children.
    Thou art the holy of holies, fill me with holiness.
    Thou art the peace of the perfected seers and saints, give me the peace of self-effacement.

WORSHIP

11. I worship my God, the Truth, enshrined in the secret chamber of my heart.
    With the waters of faith I daily wash him and weave garlands of pure thoughts for his decoration.
    All the fruits of my actions I offer unto him—
    And this is how I worship the lord of all worlds; I know no ritual nor sacred formula. Like a bee to the honeyed lotus, I centre around and sing to the essence of life that lies in the depths of my being.
12. There is fire in the heart of wood. It bursts out in long, licking flames, eager to consume its own origin.

The churner is the priest, fuel the oblation; blinding is the smoke and radiance the offspring of sacrifice.

There is fire in the heart of heavens. It strikes forth in the lashing of lightning.

Sky is the priest, darkness the oblation; cloud is the smoke and rain the offspring of sacrifice.

There is fire in the heart of being. It manifests in acts of self-effacing love.

Self is the priest, life the oblation; pain is the smoke and perfection the offspring of sacrifice.

---

13. If you must speak, speak without hurting, speak what is becoming of you. Sacrifice all excess words in the fire of silence.

If you must be silent, let your silence register your discourse with yourself or let it gently cover the wounds of other’s insults. Sacrifice all excessive silent broodings in the fire of cheerful words.

If you must act, act to benefit yourself and others, without breathless haste, without over-zealousness. Sacrifice all aimless activity in the fire of imposed quietness.

If you must be quiet, let that be a tether binding you away from hurting others or let it relax your body for a while. Sacrifice all excess inertia in the fire of loving service.

If you must possess and enjoy, possess that which is useful and enjoy that which elevates. Sacrifice all excessive hoardings in the fire of giving-away.

If you must give, let that giving be bereft of self-glory and show; let it go out naturally, relieving you as well as the one who receives. Sacrifice all excessive expenditure in the fire of non-possession.
I AM

14. You are the most inviolable Truth whom the religions worship as God. You are the Truth, because you are the only unchanging, deathless.

From this very body of mine to the farthest star all things change, disintegrate and die—pass into oblivion. But there is the something which observes all this, something which gives a basis, a reality to this very change. That is existence and that's you.

You are the beginningless, endless, one continuous principle of existence.

Like waves on the ocean, beings appear and merge upon your surface. You are behind each one of them, in them, and encompassing them. I too exist and hence hold you within me—for ever. Because nothing can extinguish me, separate me, from you, my existence. I am. I is you.

You are the eternal rock of existence. Here I take my stand upon you and behold the currents of life come, eddy about and pass—leaving me untouched.

MEDITATIONS

15. I meditate on the supreme as the being of space. All things are held in space. Billions upon billions of galaxies emerge out and expand and are losing themselves into limitless space. That very space is within the secret chamber of my heart wherein are contained greater universes of thought, more powerful and subtle.

Space encloses me from without and within, it permeates me to the core; every moment of my life I am aware of its intimate embrace; and I become one with its nature which remains untouched, undefiled and unlimited as ever—

That is why I worship as the Supreme, the infinite, the pure.

* * *

I meditate on the Supreme as the Light of lights. Light is all-pervading, nothing is bereft of it, not even the uttermost darkness, for then we would not see it.

As life-fire it pervades all bodies, even those in the fathomless seas and bowels of earth. The dust of light spreads and settles upon the most distant planet or star. It comes back reflected as a ray and enters the pupils of the perceiving eyes.

Light is within. As there are rays and stars in heaven so are there illuminators in the heart of each being, that reveal each thought, the faintest of
memories. And when there is no vision, no thought, no memory, no movement at all, in the depths of being there arises a terrible light which swallows all other illuminations and the very limitations of becoming, a light that flashes once and remains for ever unquenched.

Light immerses me from within and without, purifies me with its radiance—

That is why I worship light as the Supreme, the deathless, the all-revealing.

*

I meditate on the Supreme as the Spirit of silence.

Silence was there in the womb of creation and silence sits on the waters of dissolution. When the worlds of creation come tumbling down in a stream of multiplicity, there is amongst their mad whirling an unheard note of silence that keeps each planet apart, fixed to its chartered course.

Out of silence all things come out, in silence they are held and unto silence they all go back.

Sweet is the silence that precedes the hour of dawn. When birds sing, and winds send ripples of laughter through the lakes, it is but a tribute to that virgin silence. When men awaken and hurry to their respective functions, it is but a preparation to worship silence in the lull of noontide.

Profound is the silence that pervades the time of dusk. It is eloquent in the wings of cranes flying back to their nests, in the clouds of dust raised by cattle returning from the graze, in the low voices of women hushing the dreams of children asleep.

And when the hour of mid-night comes, unseen, unannounced, it stands dumbfounded in the court where silence reigns supreme, in its naked purity, blinding all souls with its unsullied radiance.

There is silence in the universe, silence in the individual. Silence in life, and silence in death. Silence remains for ever and everywhere. In the busiest hour of my day I can approach it by just the closing of the eyelids, a slight turning in of the mind. And there am I enriched with the deathless treasures of my pure being—

That is why I worship silence as the Supreme, the alpha and omega, the ever-present and accessible, the refuge, the temple of sweetness, OM—